PUBLISHED BY
THE CONFLICT PUBLISHING CO.
T. T. MARTIN, Editor and Business Mgr.
OFFICES
Business Office: 250 Court St. Memphis Tennessee.
Editor's Office: Blue Mountain, Miss.
All Correspondence to Blue Mountain, Miss.

THE Conflict

THE ANTI-EVOLUTION LEAGUE OF AMERICA
J. W. PORTER, President, Lexington, Ky.
Judge Matt O'Doherty, Vice- President, Louisville, Ky.
Mrs. J. A. Hunter, Secretary, Louisville, Kentucky
T T. Martin, Field Secretary, Blue Mountain, Miss.

MOTTO: "I COME TO THEE IN THE NAME OF THE LORD OF HOSTS**THE BATTLE IS THE LORD'S AND HE WILL GIVE YOU INTO OUR HANDS"

VOLUME 1. NUMBER 8. MEMPHIS, TENN., AND BLUE MOUNTAIN, MISS., APRIL 22, 1925. Subscription $1.50 per year

Covering up Evolution and Evolutionists

A CLARION NOTE FROM FAR NORTH SENDS CHALLENGE TO MEN TO AWAKE AND FIGHT

"Neutrality In The Present Conflict."

By H. O. VAN GILDER In *Watchman Examiner of New York*

Let me begin by assuring you that THERE IS A CONFLICT. Some do not seem to have awakened to the fact. The enemy has crept in. The battle is raging inside the gates, and some there are who slumber on! Are they friends or foes? Let them awake and tell us. Let us sound in their ears once again the trumpet call with clear, incisive challenge—"For if the trumpet give an uncertain sound, who shall prepare himself for battle?" Let the trumpet proclaim "tha' now is high time to awake out of sleep!"

Some there are who have withdrawn to some lofty, ethereal realm of elysian bliss, and, serenely oblivious of such sordid things as facts, dwell on in mountain hermitages where the rarefied medium of the upper atmosphere insulates them from the sound of conflict! I scarcely hope to reach them with my feeble trumpet; but I do pray God some thunderbolt may stir them from above!

Still others have donned the rose-tinted spectacles of a false optimism, and, with benign and lofty aspect, say, "Peace, peace, when there is no peace." THEY

(continued on page four)

A BRAVE, TRUE SOUL SENDS CHALLENGE TO EVOLUTIONIST

W. B. Riley Offers To Meet Any of Them IN Public Debate.

Many thousands of us have been praying that God would spare W. B. Riley, of Minneapolis to the world. How he is needed! And God has answered the prayers of His people!

Out in California where he has been regaining his strength the uncircumcised Philistine, Evolution, made its boast.

W. B. Riley, the great scholar, orator, author, preacher, promptly challenged this Goliath of Gath. Read it:

President W. W. Campbell,
University of California,
Berkeley, Calif.
My Dear Sir and Brother:

I have read with interest the recent report of your committee on the matter of Evolution taught in the schools, and I note that you state that it is not out of harmony with the fundamental principles of religion, as presented in the Bible. It is, of course, rather an unusual procedure to request a

(continued on page twelve)

EDITOR KNOWS TWO PITIABLE DODGES OF EVOLUTIONISTS

One is by the Evolutionists. Whenever their wild, unproven claims are exposed, and the terrible danger of their teaching, instead of standing up like men and proving that they are right, they either go to calling names, "ignoramus," "ass", "medievalist," "back-number," etc., or they whine and play for sympathy and try to arouse prejudice by crying, "They burned Servetus at the stake," or "They persecuted Gallileo," or "They burned the witches."

The other is that of some of the official leaders of the organized work of the different denominations. Whenever it is shown that there is Evolution in some school of foreign field, instead of standing up like men and dismissing those who are teaching Evolution, or proving that the charges are false or proving that Evolution is right and not dangerous, these official leaders of the organized work play the sissy and whine. "He is fighting the organized work," "He is fighting Wake Forest;" "He is fighting Union University;" "He is fighting Mercer."

When the Southern Baptist Convention in Kansas City Mo., 1923, voted unanimously that there must be no more Evolution in Southern Baptist Schools, were they "fighting the organized work?" Were

(continued on page twelve)

AND BLINDING THE PEOPLE TO TEACHING OF EVOLUTION IN SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES

The first chapter on "Covering Up Evolution and Evolutionists and Deceiving the People" was published in the February 22nd issue of THE CONFLICT.

THE CONFLICT was started for the purpose of carrying this fight to the people, with the determination that THE PEOPLE SHALL KNOW. And when they know they will act. Evolution in our schools is the most terrible curse that ever fell upon this earth since the fall of Adam; for if it is true God's word is a tissue of lies and the Saviour in endorsing the Old Testament as the Word of God, proves himself to be not God's Son, for God's Son would not endorse a stack of lies as God's word, but the illegitimate son of a fallen woman. And that is what the Evolutionists and Modernists (Evolution preachers) believe, but they haven't the manhood to come out and say so; for "they fear the people", and well they may!

And if Evolution is true, we not only have no revelation from God, no real Redeemer-Saviour, but we are left without any authoritative standard of morals. To force people, with their taxes, to have this taught to their children is a clear violation of Religious Liberty, a violation of the Spirit of the Constitution of the United States, hence, the fight should be carried to local boards of trustees, to have them exclude the teaching of Evolution from all tax-supported schools; and if the boards of trustees will not protect the people, put them out and put in trustees who will do their duty. The fight should also be carried to Legislatures, to have a law passed that no public money shall be paid to any school where Evolution teachers are teaching Evolution.

This is Chapter number two, on "Covering Up Evolution and Evolutionists and Deceiving the People."

The Editor of THE CONFLICT on June 1st will finish out twenty-five years as an Evangelist. He has not been a fire-brand; his work has been conservative. The facts that he has been back to churches for Evangelistic Meetings as often as six times; has held sixteen Evangelistic Campaigns in Louisville, Ky., and had to decline more than that many calls to that great center of Baptist work, and has held meetings in the leading churches of almost all of the largest cities of the South and many of the North and West, are proofs as to the character of his work. For years he held back from making the fight against Evolution.

Finally, after finding Evolution entrenched in Baylor University, he found it taught by President W. L. Poteat of Wake Forest College, North Carolina from which school it was

(continued on page two)

Covering Up Evolution And Evolutionists

(continued from page one)

transplanted to Baylor University in Texas. He saw that unless some one stepped into the breach we would go the way the North has gone. Following the publication of three articles in eight Southern Baptist papers reviewing President Poteat, (they are now in pamphlet form) the Editor of THE CONFLICT received a letter from a prominent pastor in the North in which he said "I see what you are aiming to accomplish. Had we of the North made our fight twenty years ago, we would have saved ourselves; but now it is too late."

Following this The Editor received a letter from a prominent College President, asking about a book on Sociology written by Professor Dow of Baylor University and Published by the Baylor University Press, and he sent me a copy of the book. I reviewed this poisonous book, shot through with Evolution and published a review in two articles in some of our papers.

Following this, Pastor J. Frank Norris of Fort Worth, Texas, exposed the teachings of a book written by Prof. Jno. A. Rice of the Methodist University, Dallas, Texas. This raised a storm; many prominent Methodists resented the exposure. Instead of facing the issue and showing that Professor Rice was right, or admitting that he was wrong and getting rid of him, they raised the cry for which the leaders of the organized work of North Carolina Baptists should apply for a patent or copyright, that Frank Norris was trying to manage Methodist affairs and that they could manage their own affairs.

As in North Carolina, this aroused tremendous prejudice. The war broken out, the orthodox Methodists; those who loved God's truth more than the worship of a prominent man, took their stand with Frank Norris in the fight, among them, the Methodist State Evangelist, the brilliant, godly W. E. Hawkins, Jr.

Some of the leaders of the organized work of Texas Baptists joined in the fight. They wrote long, clear-cut articles against Professor Rice remaining in the Methodist University; the appeal was made by them that Baptists and Methodists had stood shoulder to shoulder in keeping Modernism and Evolution out of the South, and that Methodists should not desert the Baptists now and leave them to make this fight alone; that if they did, it would mean friction and division in every Texas town where there were a Baptist and Methodist Church.

Led by J. Frank Norris, the orthodox Methodists, powerfully aided by these leaders of the organized work of Texas Baptists, won the battle—Prof. Jno. A. Rice left the Methodist University.

Then J. Frank Norris opened up on the book written by Prof. Dow of Baylor University and published by The Baylor University Press. The book was as poisonous and as dangerous as the book by Prof. Jno. A. Rice.

These leaders of the organized work of Texas Baptists, who had aided so powerfully in exposing and driving out Prof. Jno. A. Rice from the Methodist University, by writing long, clear-cut, powerful articles with powerful appeals against the encroachments of Modernism and Evolution, NEVER SAID ONE WORD IN PRINT AGAINST PROF. DOW BEING IN BAYLOR UNIVERSITY AND HIS TEACHNGS, THAT WERE JUST AS DANGEROUS AND POISONOUS AS THE TEACHINGS OF PROF. JNO. A. RICE'S BOOK; BUT IN ONE WEEK FOUR OF THESE LEADERS OF THE ORGANIZED WORK OF TEXAS BAPTISTS MADE, IN THE PUBLIC PRINT, FEARFUL ONSLAUGHTS ON J. FRANK NORRIS PERSONALLY.

Some questions: Grant that J. Frank Norris was guilty of all that they charged, was he not just as guilty when they were aiding him so powerfully in driving out the unsound Methodist Professor?

If they could line up with J. Frank Norris in getting rid of the unsound Methodist, since when did they become so holy that they could not "line up with J. Frank Norris" in driving out the Baptist Professor whose book was just as unsound or worse?

If they could help expose and drive out the unsound Methodist Professor and wait till afterward to expose J. Frank Norris could they not just as well have helped expose and drive out the unsound Baptist and wait till afterward to expose Norris?

But again: Grant that J. Frank Norris was guilty of all they charge, does that prove that Prof. Dow's book was sound and not poisonous and dangerous? Does the fact that the friends of a prisoner charged with stealing a horse prove that the sheriff is a murderer, clear the horse thief? The leaders of the organized work of Texas Baptists evidently think so! THIS IS ONE OF THE DARKEST CHAPTERS IN SOUTHERN BAPTIST HISTORY AND CHRISTIAN MEN AND WOMEN BOW THEIR HEADS IN SHAME OVER IT. And yet every one of these men are against Evolution. It shows what a grip Evolution has upon us, how it has us by the throat and can cause great good men to cover up Evolution and Evolutionists among us.

To say that Frank Norris caused them to do this, that they feared being looked upon as lining up with Frank Norris, will not explain this action. Even then it would put them on the level with the Baptists at the Baptist World's Alliance in Stockholm who voted against the resolution, "The whole Bible and the whole Christ for the whole world" because they did not like A. C. Dixon, or because he spoke a few minutes over his allotted time!! Such Baptists! Such lack of principle! Such lack of Christian manhood!

But they did "line up with Frank Norris" in the battle against the Methodist Evolutionist! They remind one of the old fire pan hunter in the piney woods of South-East Mississippi in the early days. In those early days they would hunt deer at night with a fire-pan. They would fasten a large pan on the end of a pole six or eight feet long; place in it some pine splinters and set them on fire; one hunter would carry the pole with the fire-pan over his shoulder as he and the man with the gun would move quietly through the pine forest. The deer, seeing the moving light, would stand and gaze at it; his eyes would shine like two balls of fire; the man with the gun would shoot between the eyes and kill the deer. One night a farmer and his son Bill were fire-pan hunting; suddenly the old farmer saw two eyes shining; up come the old gun; Bill whispered, "don't shoot, Dad; them ain't deer's eyes; that's our caaf." But the old farmer, excited, had what the deer hunters called " a buck ager" (ague), and he whispered, "Hold on Bill! that's a deer!" and up came the old shot gun. Bill whispered. "Dad, them 'air eyes is too wide apart for deer's eyes; that's our caaf; you gwine ter kill our caaf shore's you shoot." The excited old farmer whispered "Hold on Bill! I'm gwine ter shoot to kill it if it's a deer and miss it if its a caaf!"

When it comes to killing Evolution, these leaders of the organized work of Texas Baptists are so strong against Evolution that they will [illegible] to kill it if it is a Baptist and miss it if it's a Baptist! Such men will never save our people from the terrible curse of Evolution.

For

CHAPTER THREE

of covering up Evolution and Evolutionists and deceiving the people," let us turn to the Methodists.

Driven by the exposure of J. Frank Norris, backed by the orthodox Methodists of Texas led by the noble young prince in Israel, State Evangelist, W. E. Hawkins, Jr., and aided powerfully by these leaders of the organized work of Texas Baptists, "the higher-ups," "the powers that be" among Texas Methodists could not stand up against the rising tide of conviction against Prof. A. Rice's Modernist Evolution teaching in the great Methodist University, and they had to let him go—but they put him in a pastorate in Oklahoma at an $8,000 a year salary! And the noble, godly, true young state Evangelist, W. E. Hawkins, Jr., who stood for the souls of the Methodist young people of Texas, who stood for God's sacred word, who stood for the Virgin birth and Deity of our Saviour and Lord, who stood for redemption through His blood, these same "higher-ups" these "powers that be" among Texas Methodists, removed from being State Evangelist and placed him far away, out at Robert Lee in Coke County, Texas, an "hard-scrabble circuit"—to starve his queenly wife and precious little children, and bring him into subjection, for daring to speak out against "big" men and expose their faith-destroying, Christ-traducing, soul-damning, Modernism and Evolution! LET SOUTHERN METHODISTS BOW THEIR HEADS IN SHAME!

But a Methodist Evangelist is not the only Evangelist who has felt the denominational cat-o'-nine-tails cut into the quivering flesh because he dared speak out and protest against "big" men stealthily but surely and effectually fastening Evolution on our people.

But God is not dead. Stalwart Methodists and Methodist churches have rallied to young Hawkins and he has been called for, far and near, and his family has been provided for, and he has made Robert Lee in Coke County the wonder of Southern Methodism.

For

CHAPTER FOUR

we must go back. When the Editor of THE CONFLICT published the three articles in eight Southern Baptist papers exposing the teachings of President W. L. Poteat of Wake Forest College, N. C., giving President Poteat's own words in every case, the Southern Baptist Education Association met soon after, and they elected him President. Why? To white wash an Evolutionist and to cover up Evolution and deceive the people.

CHAPTER FIVE

was just as reprehensible. When the articles concerning President W. L. Poteat were published in the eight Southern Baptist papers, some of the leaders among Southern Baptists rushed into the papers and testified that North Carolina Baptist preachers were as sound as any other Baptist preachers,—when they knew that more young Baptist preachers and others had gone away from Wake Forest with unitarian views than from all other Southern Baptist Schools; when they knew that sound men, as sound as any other Baptist preachers in the South, had gone from the Southern Baptist Theological Seminary while Professor Crawford H. Toy was there; but that was never pleaded to cover up his unsoundness. The credit was given for these sound men to Jno. A. Broadus, Basil Manly, and J. P. Boyce, and not to the unsound teacher, Professor Crawford H. Toy. Why this reversal, and credit given President W. L. Poteat, the Evolutionist and not to Professor W. R. Collum, Professor W. B. Royal and the other true, sound

(continued on page three)

TRY THIS TEST ON YOURSELF.

1. Do you know what Evolution is?
2. Do you know what effect fulfilled prophecies have on Evolution?
3. Do you know what Evolution is doing to the students in the Schools and Colleges where it is taught?
4. Do you know what it is doing in our Public Schools today?
5. Are you willing that YOUR child be taught that the Bible is a pack of lies?
6. Are you content to be FORCED to pay teachers to teach such Things to your children?
7. Can you prove to an Evolutionist that the Bible IS GOD'S WORD?

SUBSCRIBE FOR THE CONFLICT.

AND YOU WILL

1. Learn what Evolution teaches
2. Learn how absurd and untrue this teaching is.
3. Be forewarned as to the danger of such teachings.
4. Learn what Evolution does for those who believe in it.
5. Learn how to fight it.
6. Be convinced that it should be excluded from our tax-supported schools.
7. Be convinced that the Bible is really God's word and learn facts whereby you can refute argument of Evolutionists and others seeking to discredit the Bible as God's word.
8. Aid in making the paper what it should be—a power in this fight.

This fight is your fight and affects your Children. Fill out the coupon below today—

THE CONFLICT PUBLISHING CO.

Blue Mountain, Miss.

Dear Sirs:

I am enclosing $1.50 for 1 years subscription to THE CONFLICT.

Name .....

Address .....

Important—write name and address plain as Print.

THE BIBLE, GOD'S REVELATION

By PROF. I. R. DEAN, Toronto, Canada.

THE JEW, THE MIRACLE OF HISTORY

By Prof. I. R. Dean

There are many things that Evolution cannot account for. The Jew is one of them.

His origin was a miracle and his existence to this day is a greater miracle. Men may try to deny that he had such an origin as the Bible speaks of in the call of Abraham and in the miraculous birth of Issac, when both Abraham and Sarah were long past the age of child-bearing. But even so, how can the Jew's existence as a separate people, and the undying character of this race be accounted for, when nations, as well as individuals have done their utmost to blot them out of the earth?

When Frederick the Great asked his chaplain to give him in one word the proof of the truth of the Bible, he answered very correctly, "The Jew," The Jew, in spite of himself, whether willing or unwilling, always witnesses to the truth of God's word, while he was in the land and going on with God he was a testimony to all nations of the abiding faithfulness of God and of the blessedness of those that serve the Lord. But in his unbelief and rebellion against Christ, his Messiah he is equally a witness of the truth of the word of God. Before they ever entered the land after their deliverance from Egypt. God told them that if they walked contrary to him He would walk contrary to them and that He would make their cities waste, and bring their land to desolation, and scatter them among the nations. (Lev. 26:23-33). The Lord told them that they should become an astonishment, a proverb, and a by-word, among all nations whither the Lord should lead them. How literally this has been fulfilled.

Yet He says, "Though I make a full end of all nations whither I have scattered thee, yet will I not make a full end of thee; but I will correct thee in measure and will not leave thee altogether unpunished, Jer. 30:11.

How literally this has been fulfilled both as to Israel and the nations. Where are those nations, Assyria, Babylon, etc., that opressed Isreal? But Israel lives on, and fulfills the prophecy that as long as the radiances of the Sun, Moon and Stars endure, so long would Israel continue to be a nation before God. Jer. 3:35,36.

Not only so, but God promises that they shall RETURN TO THEIR LAND and never be dispossessed of it any more. He also promises that he will multiply them, and they shall not be few, and glorify them and they shall not be small. Jer. 30:18,19.

There are said to be twice as many Jews in the world today as there were a hundred years ago and really more than at any time in their history.

When the great War broke out in 1914 there was not the slightest prospect from a human standpoint of the Jews ever possessing the land of Palestine. That was not in the minds of the nations even as a remote purpose of the war. But God had designs far deeper than the Kaiser or the Allies.

It may be truthfully stated that only important thing accomplished by the war was the OPENING OF PALESTINE FOR THE JEWISH PEOPLE, thus beginning the fulfillment that restoration spoken of by the prophets since the world began, Acts 3:19.

Lessing, the great German historian said, "When speaking of the laws of nations you must make an exception of the Jews."

Their history defies all law. They have been compared to the Gulf Stream flowing through the Atlantic Cocean. Thousands of miles from the Gulf of Mexico that stream can be traced distinctly by its color and temperature. So the Jew, scattered amongst all nations does not become absorbed or amalgamated, but ever remains a separate people.

He cannot be drowned out of existence. Pharaoh tried that. Nor burned to extinction. Nebuchadnezzar tried that. Nor legislated and hanged to death, Haman tried that.

It may therefore be truly said of this nation, "No weapon that is formed against thee shall prosper; and every tongue that shall rise against thee in the judgment thou shalt condemn." Isiah 54:17.

The Jews are set aside at present on acount of their rejection of their Messiah, Jesus Christ, but they are marvellously preserved for a future blessing, and to be made a blessing to all the nations of the earth.

This is God's doing and it is marvellous in our eyes.

THE CONFLICT

AND

The Baptist Monthly Magazine

of which The Editor of THE CONFLICT is the Editor and J. W. Porter, LL.D., is the Editor in Chief, both for

$2.00

ORDER FROM—

THE CONFLICT

Blue Mountain, Miss.

WANTED—100 Agents for THE CONFLICT. Liberal terms. Adress T. T. Martin

Blue Mountain, Miss.

EVOLUTION AND EVOLUTIONISTS TO BE EXPOSED

The Editor of THE CONFLICT to Expose the Deceptions and False Claims of the Evolutionists, as shown by Their Latest and Greatest, Most Thorough Exposure Ever Made by the Editor, and Will Go to the bottom!

Maynard Shipley, President of The Science League of America, which has back of it the Evolutionists and Modernists and the millionaires they control, and the great school-book publishing companies, and which has as its avowed object to "Keep Evolution in the schools and the book of Genesis out," has offered prizes for the best essays on that subject. The Editor of THE CONFLICT will review and expose them when they come out.

Another powerful Evolution organization for their propagandism is putting out "The Great American Truth Series," "The Truth About Evolution and Religion".

Their greatest campaign book is "Evolution or Christianity, God or Darwin?" by William M. Goldsmith, A.M., Ph.D., author of 'Stones in a Life,' 'The Laws of Life-Evolution, Heredity and Eugenics,' 'A Guide to Biological Principles," etc.; Fellow in the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Member American Association of Zoologists, the British Association for the Advancement of Science, The Ecological Society of the United States, etc., Instructor Embryology, Indiana Biological Station 1923, Professor of Biology Southwestern College; with chapters by Henry Fairfield Ooborn, S. Parkes Cadman, Harry Emerson Fosdick, Lyman Abbott, (deceased) Introduction by Allyn K. Foster.

Here are authorities enough for you! This book has been strongly endorsed by the leading Evolutionists of America.

Here is the chance for a potshot! The Editor of THE CONFLICT will give them both barrels.

This book is the last word on Evolution's false claims and and deceptions.

Beginning in July The Editor of THE CONFLICT will give a thorough exposure of the deceptions and false claims of the Evolutionists and Modernists.

The Articles will run through several issues of THE CONFLICT.

Such an exposure of Evolution and Evolutionists has never before been made.

It will be simple and plain, so that boys and girls can understand.

Even the Southern Baptist Education will be able to see the difference between Fundamentalism and Modernism!

Let every reader get every subscriber possible at once, that they may begin with this series of articles; then let every subscriber get evry one possible to read the articles.

WHY I AM NOT AN EVOLUTIONISTS

(continued from last issue)

DISINTEGRAIONT A FIXED LAW

1. Let us first speak of the origin of matter. The newly developed science of radioactivity has shown that the atoms of all the chemical elements are made up simply of two kinds of things, a nucleus of one or more units of positive electricity, surrounded by a number of negative units, each of which is only about 1-1760 as large, the number of the latter varying with the particular kind of chemical element. Some of the heavier elements, such as uranium, radium, and thorium, are constantly disintegrating, or breaking down, by loss of electrons, into other elements of lighter weight; but no instance of the reverse process is yet known to science. Thus our modern scientific view of the world of matter makes it resemble a great clock constantly running down, with no known method of winding it up. Not the evolution of matter, but the disintegration of matter, is the plain lesson from these discoveries in radioactivity; and the conclusion is inevitable that the stuff of which the universe is composed must have been called into existence by the fiat of the great Creator.

ENERGY FIXED BY GOD A CREATION

2. And we do not know how energy could have originated except in the same way, by the decree of the One who called matter into existence. But energy has a real existence, as real as potatoes or molasses; and its origin needs just as much to be accounted for as we need to account for life or matter itself. All our energy comes to us from the Sun, and its numerous forms are freely transmuted by us here on the earth back and forth in a thousand ways, with constant slight losses, but absolutely without the gain of a single erg or a single calorie. And as, according to the Bible, this central heating plant of our solar system was created at the same time as our world, and created to serve our world, it is easy to understand that a definite amount of solar energy has been fixed by divine decree, to be doled out to our world from second to second. To confirm this view, we have the astronomical fact that the amount of energy we receive from the sun has not varied from century to century. As far as science can measure it the energy hourly given out to us is constant quantity; and when we receive it, we cannot increase it in slightest degree by all our mechanics or by all our science. Thus in this sense also the Bible record is confirmed, that the amount of energy available for our world was fixed or finished, "from the foundation of the world." Hebrews 4: 3.

(continued on page eleven)

Covering Up Evolution And Evolutionists

(continued from page two)

men on the Wake Forest College Faculty? To whitewash a great Evolutionist and cover up Evolution among us.

We will never be saved from the blighting curse of Evolution by such work as is shown in Chapters four and five. These are great, good men, but their actions show what a fearful strangle-hold Evolution has on us. Our only hope is to carry this fight to the people where it ought to go, and they will settle it and settle it right.

CHAPTER SIX

During the World War the Southern Baptist Convention passed ringing resolutions every year. That was only about men's bodies. Professor Luba of Bryn Mown has shown that forty-five per cent of the students tested, were led by the teaching in the nine Northern Colleges of Evolution to give up their faith in the Bible and in the Saviour as real Redeemer. This fight against Evolution is ten thousand times more important than the fight against Germany in the world war. We whipped Germany on the European battle-field, but Germany is whipping, damning us in the American pulpit and school-room with her Evolution. Though we voted every year ringing resolutions against Germany on the battle-field, yet, because we passed one motion in the Southern Baptist Convention in Kansas City in 1923, when Editor C. P. Steeley of the Baptist Messenger of Oklahoma and R. K. Maiden of the Word and Way of Missouri offered resolutions on Evolution in the Southern Baptist Convention in Atlanta in 1924, the great steam-roller of the politician, "refer it to a committee," was brought in, and the voices of three-fourths, if not nine-tenths of the Baptists of the South were stifled. Why? To cover up a few "big" men among us who are Evolutionists and to cover up Evolution and keep the people blinded.

We pass, every year, ringing resolutions on Social Service, and we do well to do it. Who will dare say that Social Service is one-tenth as important as the question of Evolution, which means that the Bible is not really God's word unless "rightly interpreted," which means interpreted by some Evolutionist or some flunkey to Evolution? Which means that our Saviour was not real Deity, our real Redeemer?

Why is it so orthodox to pass resolutions yearly about war and about Social Service, but so terribly "unbaptistic," so fearfully heterodox to press resolutions about Evolution, that after one time all resolutions concerning Evolution must be smothered by being "referred to a committee"?

There is one way out—elect by ballot the Committee to whom such resolutions shall be referred!

What shall

CHAPTER SEVEN

on "Covering Up Evolution and Evolutionists and Deceiving The People" be? We shall see. But be assured of one thing—THE PEOPLE SHALL KNOW.

The Conflict

Published on the 7th and 22nd of each month at Blue Mountain Miss. and Memphis, Tenn. by the CONFLICT PUBLISHING CO.

T. T. MARTIN, Editor ..... Blue Mountain, Miss., Business Office, 250 Court St., Memphis, Tennessee.

Subscription Price (in advance) .....$1.50 per year

Application for Entry as Second Class Matter is Pending

CO-EDITORS AND DEPARTMENTS

EVOLUTION NOT SCIENCE
Dr. Arthut I. Brown, M.D. C. M. F.R.C.S.E., Vancouver B.C.

THE BIBLE, GOD'S REVELATION
Prof. I. R. Dean, Toronto, Canada.

REAL ANSWERS TO PRAYER AND REAL CONVERSIONS
Dr. Morris Peterson, Ph.D., Kingsburg, Calif.

EVOLUTION IN THE TAX-SUPPORTED SCHOOLS UNCONSTITUTIONAL
Dr. Van Dyke Todd, LLD., (University of Texas) Ducor, Calif.

THE TRUTH IN STORY AND ILLUSTRATION
Prof. Thomas Todd Martin, M.A., (Vanderbilt), Burleson College, Texas.

T. N. T., GRAPE SHOT AND CANISTER.
B. H. Shadduck, Ph. D.

April 22, 1925.

THE GREAT DEBATE.

THE CONFLICT is safe in saying that in publishing "The Royal Conflict" we are giving our readers the greatest discussion ever given to the American people. The Editor of the THE CONFLICT considers it the greatest proof ever given to the world that the Bible is God's word. That kills Evolution with honest, clear-thinking people.

The debate is true to the title "The Royal Conflict." It is gripping from the start. The Infidel, the Evolutionist, will read it with astonishment and be dumbfounded; the believer will read rejoicing and be strengthened; the young will read it with as much eagerness as the mature Bible scholar. It will settle the question of Evolution wherever it is read.

It will run through each issue till Dec. 7th, and will then be issued in cheap book form. It will make a book of five hundred pages, and will sell for 85 cents in paper binding; 75 cents by the half dozen; 65 cents by the dozen; $1.25 in cloth. It should be scattered like the leaves of the forest.

A CLARION NOTE FRO M FAR NORTH SENDS CHALLENGE TO MEN TO AWAKE AND FIGHT

(continued from page one)

CAN EVEN CHANT THEIR HYMN OF PEACE TO THE RHYTHM OF THE HAMMERS IN THE HANDS OF THOSE WHO "CRUCIFY TO THEMSELVES THE SON OF GOD AFRESH!"

THEY ARE NEUTRAL

The church of Christ may be red with the blood of martyrs the stream of theology may be yellow with mud, but THEY ARE A NEUTRAL GREY!

My message is to them today, and if you are not of them, and have no acquaintances who are, you need not listen and may be excused at once.

In speaking on "Neutrality in the Present Conflict" I shall endeavor to give first, the delineations of the conflict, then the implications of neutrality and finally, the obligations of the truth.

THE DELINEATIONS OF THE CONFLICT

The outlines of the conflict are not difficult to trace, but there is a widespread prejudice against tracing them. STATING THE ISSUES CLEARLY IS NOT A POPULAR PRACTISE IN THE PRESENT CONFLICT. The enemy much prefers to conceal the issues behind smoke screen and camouflage and the "neutrals" find their "No Man's Land" much more comfortable when obscured by fog, realizing, no doubt, that the SEARCHLIGHT OF A CLEAR ANALYSIS WOULD RENDER THEIR POSITION ENTIRELY UNTENABLE.

My first purpose, therefore is to dispel the fog and smoke in order that we may see where the battle lines are formed. My purpose is not to settle issues but to state them.

THE BIBLE THE WORD OF GOD

Pursuant to this purpose then, let me say that the present conflict is one in which the CHRISTIAN CONCEPTION OF THE BIBLE AS THE WORD OF GOD IS ARRAYED AGAINST THE ANTI-CHRISTIAN CONCEPTION OF THE BIBLE AS A MERELY HUMAN BOOK

The Christian church has always viewed the Bible as a divinely inspired revelation from God to man; a Book the precepts of which are infallible and the reliability of which is unimpeachable. Time after time has the Christian church stood up to say in the face of a skeptical world, "We believe in the Scriptures of both the Old and the New Testaments as divinely inspired of God and infallible." Down through the centuries those confessions stand like a succession of watered oases marking a course of life and hope in the trackless desert of the world.

THE APOSTLES BELIEVED THE SCRIPTURES INSPIRED AND INFALLIBLE. This was the view of John when he said, "Hear what the Spirit sayeth unto the churches" This was the view of John when he said, "Beloved, remember ye the words which were spoken before of the apostles of our Lord Jesus Christ: How that they told you there should be mockers in the last time, who should walk after their own ungodly lusts." This was the view of Peter when he said, "For the prophecy came not in old time by the will of man: but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost." And when he said again that there were some things in Paul's epistles "hard to be understood, which they that are unlearned and unstable wrest, as they do also the other scriptures, unto their own destruction." It was the view of James when he said, "Receive with meekness the engrafted Word, which is able to save your souls." It was the view also of Paul, who said "All scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable." And most certainly IT WAS THE VIEW WHICH CHRIST JESUS HIMSELF TOOK OF THE SACRED SCRIPTURES. Time after time he quoted from the law or from the prophets, or from the Psalms, saying, "GOD HATH SAID." When tempted by the devil, He appealed not to his own authority but to the authority of the Scriptures. When beset by the Pharisees he routed them with "It is written." He rebuked the Sadducees, saying, "Ye do err, not knowing the Scriptures." He rebuked his disciples because they believed not "all the prophets have spoken." The scriptures of truth were his refuge and his sword, his lamp and his comfort, and when, in the darkest hour of his ministry he made "his soul an offering for sin", then the scriptures of truth poured from his lips even as the blood of redemption poured from his veins! He loved and revered the Book.

Now stands the Modernist. IN HIS EYES THE BOOK IS NOTHING MORE THAN A CONGLOMERATION OF FABLE, FALLACY, AND FRAUD CONTAINING AMIDST ALL THE CHAFF, SOME "RELIGIOUS VALUE" THAT ONLY ONE WITH HIS SUPERIOR WISDOM AND LOFTY INTELLECTUALITY CAN DISCOVER.

HE CALLS HIS A CHRISTIAN VIEW OF THE SCRIPTURES. BUT IT IS NOT. HIS VIEW STANDS SQUARELY OPPOSED TO THAT OF THE CHURCH, THE APOSTLES, AND THAT OF CHRIST. The "Christian" view of the Scriptures is a matter of historic record.

The Modernist may claim to have a better view of the Scriptures and I will respect his sincerity while deploring his folly, but NOT FOR A MOMENT CAN HE CLAIM THAT HIS VIEW IS THE CHRISTIAN VIEW OF THE SCRIPTURES.

It is anti-Christian!

It is satanic!

AND SO I SAY THAT THIS PRESENT CONFLICT IS ONE IN WHICH THE CHRISTIAN CONCEPTION OF THE BIBLE AS THE WORD OF GOD IS ARRAYED AGAINST THE ANTI-CHRISTIAN CONCEPTION OF THE BIBLE AS A MERELY HUMAN PRODUCTION. (And when we are forced to pay our taxes to pay teachers to teach Evolution, in tax-supported schools, we are being forced to pay to have our children taught the anti-Christian conception of the Bible; for Evolution is what started and teaches this anti-Christian conception of the Bible. And the Southern Baptist Education Association at Memphis voted that it would not take a stand against Modernism! —T. T. M.)

JESUS CHRIST THE SON OF GOD

Moreover, THIS CONFLICT IS ONE IN WHICH THE CHRISTIAN CONCEPTION OF JESUS CHRIST AS THE SON OF GOD IS ARRAYED AGAINST THE ANTI-CHRISTIAN CONCEPTION OF JESUS AS A MERELY HUMAN MAN. (and we are forced to have this view of the saviour drilled into our children, and the Southern Baptist Education Association voted that they would not take a stand against modernism!—T. T. M.)

The Christian church has throughout the centuries iterated and reiterated its belief that Jesus Christ is true man and true God— a miraculous supernatural union of two natures in one Person.

Others have said: "Elias possibly, or one of the prophets," "a good man", "a great physician and teacher." But the Christian church has looked into the face of Christ and said with Peter, "Thou art the Christ, the son of the Living God." It has looked at the marks of his wounds and said with Thomas, "My Lord and my God!"

The Christian Church has unfurled this great truth from its mast, and with the "breath of the Almighty" in this sail has stormed through the threatening waves of Ebionitism, and Gnosticism, Appolinarianism, Nestorianism and Socinianism, not to mention the thousand and one other "isms" that have tried to modify and destroy this doctrine of the unique deity and sinless humanity of the Lord Jesus Christ. The Christian church has found that it makes a very satisfactory sail by which the spirit of God can move it on its course. And so it has moved like some great fishing schooner, filled with—

Fishers of men, the blest,
Out of the world's unrest,
Out of sin's troubled sea,
Taking us Lord, to thee.

And now, when the sea is especially turbulent, when the world is most distressed, when there is especial need for the church to keep its headway in the stormy waves, the modernist (Evolution preacher—T. T. M.) clambers aboard and demands to be allowed to down the sail, and paddle the boat with his own cracked oar of "rationalism!"

The point is that the modernist (Evolution preacher.—T. T. M.) who denies the unique and supernatural deity of the Lord Jesus Christ is advancing a false claim when he demands recognition for himself and his views as a "christian". Let him BUILD HIS OWN CHURCH SINCE HE WANTS ONE, BUT HE CERTAINLY CANNOT JUSTLY CLAIM A PART IN THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH.

He might claim to have something better than the Christian view of Christ; and the refutation of such a claim would lie outside the purpose of this paper. Indeed, he does somewhat covertly advance the claim by his cry of "Back to Christ." "Away from the crude accreations of primitive Christianity and back to the simple teachings of Jesus!" And when he hears Jesus say, "As ye would that men should do unto you, do ye also to them likewise," he pats Jesus on the back and says, "We know that thou art a teacher come from God." But when he hears Jesus Christ say, "Except a man be born again, he cannot see the kingdom of God" the modernist (Evolution preacher—T. T. M.) lifts his eyebrows in professed surprise and says: "But really now, that's rather archaic. We have quite gotten beyond the necessity for that with the superior educational facilities of the present day." When he hears Christ say, "I and my Father are one. He that hath seen me hath seen the Father", the modernist (Evolution preacher— T. T. M.) marches hastily away holding aloft a prophet "Jesus" of his own contriving and seeking to attract a following by singing loudly, "Onward Christian Soliders." Modernism (sanctimonious Evolution. T. T. M.) is not Christian in its view of Christ.

MODERNISM (sanctimonious Evolution—T. T. M.) IS MORE THAN SIMPLY UNCHRISTIAN—IT IS CRYSTALIZED AND CONCENTRATED ANTI-CHRISTIANITY.

Dr. Howard Osgood once addressed a meeting in Detroit and took occasion to read statements, which, he said, represented the latest conclusions of higher criticism. In the audience were a number of persons in sympathy with those conclusions and quite well acquainted with them. Dr. Osgood asked if what he had read represented the views of the critics correctly, and when there was no dissenting voice, he startled them all by informing them that all that he had read had been taken from the writings of the infidels of the eighteenth century!

SUPERNATURALISM VERSUS NATURALISM

Without devoting more time to individual sectors, and viewing the firing line as a whole, I may say that the present conflict IS ONE IN WHICH CHRISTIAN SUPERNATURALISM IS ARRAYED AGANIST ANTI-CHRISTIAN NATURALISM IN RELIGION. THE GOD OF THE CHRISTIAN IS A SUPERNATURAL GOD, DWELLING APART FROM, AND SUPERIOR TO, ALL MATTER. THE GOD OF THE MODERNIST (Evolution preacher—T. T. M.) IS THE GOD OF THE PANTHEIST, IMPRISONED

(continued on page ten)

The Royal Conflict

A DEBATE ON, "IS THE BIBLE REALLY GOD'S WORD?"

CHAPTER VI

THE NEW TESTAMENT ON THE WITNESS STAND

Mrs. Beckham came back into the room just as Brandol and his sister reached the end of the Old Testament, and Margaret had looked up to her brother with the question, "Brother, isn't it wonderful?" and turning to her mother, she said, "Did you know, mother, that in nearly every book of the Old Testament the writer says that God spoke words to him, and these words are to be found right there in the writings?"

"I knew that some of the chapters in the first part of the Bible begin in that way; that is, begin with words like these, 'And the word of the Lord came unto Moses saying', and also 'The Lord spake unto Joshua saying', etc., but I did not know that words like these are to be found in a great many books scattered through the Bible."

"Why, yes," said Margaret quickly, "God seemed to carry on a conversation with nearly all these men; that is, He did nearly all the talking Himself."

"I admit," said Brandol, "that the old prophets pretty generally believed that they received communications from God. Suppose now we look into the New Testament."

"Where shall we begin?" asked Margaret. "I hardly know where to look for passages."

"I remember one verse in the New Testament," said the mother; "Look in your concordance, Margaret, under the word 'moved.'" The passage, 2 Peter, 1: 20, 21, was soon found, and Margaret read as follows: "'Knowing this first, that no prophecy of the scripture is of any private interpretation. For the prophecy came not in old time by the will of man, but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost.'"

"Oh, brother, how can you doubt after such a passage?" she said. "The old prophets did not give their own thoughts, but spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost. Surely God is trying to tell us plainly that the Bible is not the work of man, but that the men who wrote it were moved by His Spirit."

"I have a book that will give you a good many passages on inspiration," said the mother.

"Turn to Romans 3: 1, 2, Margaret."

"'What advantage, then hath the Jew, or what profit is there of circumcision? Much every way. Chiefly because that unto them were committed the oracles of God.'"

"Unto whom?" asked Margaret.

"Paul is talking to the Jews," said the mother, "and he states that in one respect they had the advantage over the other nations of the world, in that they had the Old Testament, which was the oracles of God."

"And what were the oracles, mother?" asked Margaret.

"Look here, my young lady," spoke up Brandol, in a bantering way, "I am afraid you played truant from your Greek history class. Don't you remember about the Grecian oracles?"

"Oh, yes," she said, "these oracles were regarded by the Greeks as messages from their Gods, and so Paul here calls the Old Testament the oracles of God. Isn't that a strong expression? Why, brother, I would think that this expression, alone, would be enough to prove the inspiration of the Old Testament. It calls the Old Testament the oracles of God. How could Paul say in stronger terms that the Old Testament was the words of God?"

"How do you know," asked Brandol, "that Paul was talking about the Old Testament?"

"What else could he have been talking about?" asked Margaret in surprise. "He said that the Jews were highly honored in having the oracles or the messages of God committed to them. You know the Old Testament claims to give the words of God to the Jews, and they considered them a great treasure. And now Paul is reminding them of these scriptures which God had given them. The Jews had no other book claiming to give the oracles of God to them."

"She is undoubtedly right about that," said the mother addressing Brandol. "If you will only reflect upon it for a moment, son, you will see it very clearly. Paul talks a great deal in his writings about the Old Testament, and in this passage he calls it the oracles of God."

"But please remember," said Brandol, "that Paul may have been mistaken about this. I agreed to believe what every writer said about his own writing, but I did not promise to accept everything a writer might say about every other writer."

"What a fighter you are," exclaimed Margaret. "You know that if Paul the apostle called the Old Testament the oracles of God, he must have known what he was talking about, and you will find out that Paul was inspired in all his writings."

"I have another passage for you," said the mother. "Read Hebrews 1: 1."

Margaret read as follows: "'God, who at sundry times and in divers manners, spake in time past unto the fathers by the prophets.' Just listen to that, brother. God spake by the prophets, and did it in many times and many ways."

"This is very interesting," said Brandol. "This afternoon I have an engagement, but I will be ready for you again after supper."

Margaret, however, could not lay her Bible aside. It had become invested with a new charm. A few minutes after Brandol left she said to her mother, with eager expectancy, "Didn't Christ find the Old Testament among the Jews when He came to earth?"

"Why, yes, my child. It was their Bible. But you can find out more definitely about this in the large Bible dictionary in the library case."

Margaret soon found the book. With the aid of her concordance and marginal references she found many passages telling how Christ regarded the Old Testament.

Brandol's thoughts were busy. His mind seemed to be in a state of chaos. One fact stared him in the face, and that was that the Bible taught that God did communicate with many of the Old Testament writers. The array of passages that had confronted him showed conclusively that the Bible contained the words of God, and it looked to him as if he would have to abandon his old position, which had been an utter denial of anything supernatural about the Bible. He had always denied that there was any inspiration in the Bible different from the inspiration which all great writers may be said to possess. It looked as if he would have to retreat to the point of admitting divine communications, but communications to a few men for special purposes and on a few special occasions. This was not an unknown theory to him, for he had heard it often, but he had never been willing to admit it. He could not understand how he could have lost sight of the abundant testimony of the Bible concerning itself. He began to wonder how much more testimony of the same kind, or perhaps—and the thought made him tremble—of a stronger kind, might be lying in the Bible hidden from his gaze. And yet he thought of the improbability of God speaking directly with men as the Old Testament declared, and he was tempted to believe the Old Testament writers were deluded, as were also Peter and Paul, in the passages which they speak of the Old Testament. Then would come the thought of the improbability of all these men being deluded, and thus the conflict raged in his mind.

The father was just starting down to his office next morning, when Brandol came to breakfast.

"And so you two are to get at it again to-day?" he asked of Brandol. "I am interested in that discussion myself, and you must tell me at dinner what new gems of truth you unearth."

"Come on, brother Brandol," called Margaret twenty minutes later, as she left the table and turned her steps toward the library.

"When you left yesterday we were examining the New Testament to see what it had to say about the inspiration of the Old Testament. But I have three other passages which mother gave me. The first is Matthew 1: 22."

She found the passage and read as follows: "'Now all this was done that it might be fulfilled which was spoken of the Lord by the prophet saying.' There now, brother, 'spoken of the Lord, by the prophet.' This is inspiration exactly. The Lord did the speaking—think of that! He spoke by means of the pro- He spoke by means of the prophet."

"What is your next passage?" asked Brandol.

"Act. 1: 16: 'Men and brethren, this scripture must needs have been fulfilled which the Holy Ghost, by the mouth of David, spake before concerning Judas.' Isn't that wonderful? You see, brother, the word scripture means the Old Testament, for it here refers to David, one of the Old Testament writers, and it says that the Holy Ghost spoke the scripture by the mouth of David. The next passage is Acts 28: 25, 'Well spake the Holy Ghost by Esaias the prophet unto our fathers.'"

"Those passages," remarked Brandol, "do not prove the inspiration of all the Old Testament. They are simply declaring that certain prophets were inspired in certain passages which the writer quotes."

"What, brother! Do you mean to say that the New Testament writer simply quotes these passages because they are the only ones back there, or among the only ones, that were inspired? But there is one person whom we have not heard from on this subject."

"Who is that?" he asked.

"It is none other than Christ Himself," she replied.

"We ought to have consulted Him first, and that would have saved us futher trouble. He knew all about it. We accept Him as our Savior and Guide. Why should we not ask Him about the Old Testament, and then let His opinion be ours also? I have been studying up on this point, and have learned several interesting facts. When Christ came into the world He found that the Jews had a Bible which they loved far more devotedly than we love our Bible, and which they called the Scriptures. It was the same as our Old Testament.

"You say, brother, that the Old Testament may have been mere human words? Why then did Christ use it as He did at the time of His great temptation? Three times He answered Satan with a quotation from the Old Testament, saying, 'It is written,' 'It is written,' 'It is written.' He stood in the synagogue to preach, and what did He talk about? It was the Old Testament. He turned to Isaiah's prophecy about suffering Messiah, and then said, 'This day is this Scripture fulfilled in your ears.' Where did Christ get His texts for His preaching? In nearly every case from the Old Testament. I read last night a statement from Mr. Moody that Christ quotes from twenty-two books in the Old Testament. If in the few sayings of Christ that are given in the New Testament there is a mention by Him of twenty-two Old Testament books, think how many Old Testament quotations we would have from Him if we had all the sayings about the Old Testament that He ever uttered.

"Another thing: I was surprised to find so many passages in which it was said that Christ did certain things that it might be fullfilled that was spoken of by Scriptures. I was surprised to find how often Christ refers to the Old Testament to prove that He was divine. But wait. I have some more passages," she said, referring to her paper. "Look at our Savior as He hung upon the cross. That was a time when above all others He needed comfort, a comfort that God alone could give Him. Where did He seek it? Listen to these words," she said, reading from the Bible, "'Father

(continued on page six)

THE MOODY BIBLE INSTITUTE OF CHICAGO

REV. JAMES M. GRAY, D.D., President

Founded by the Great Evangelist and Christian Educator

D. L. MOODY, in 1886

TRAINING FREE

The object of the Institute is expressed in the service rendered by its students in all parts of the world, who are Pastors, Evangelists, Missionaries, Teachers, Gospel Singers, Y. M. C. A. and Y. W. C. A. Secretaries, Rescue Mission Superintendents, Deaconesses and Workers in Sunday School and Boys' and Girls' Clubs.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

This is divided into a Day School, Evening School and Correspondence School. The General Course of the Day School is covered in two years. Its enrollment last year was 1328. Five other courses are Missionary Pastors, Religious Education, Jewish Mission and Swedish English. Advanced work is taken in these courses covering longer periods of time.

The Evening school permits students to take work equivalent to the day school, making them eligible to the same diploma, though it necessarily covers a longer period of time. A shorter course is possible however, leading to a certificate. The enrollment last year was 681.

The Correspondence School is for those who cannot attend the Institute in person. Eight Courses are offered in different methods of Bible Study, Practical Christian Work, Evangelism, Christian Evidences, etc. For these a limited fee is charged. The enrollment last year was 10,047.

EXTENSION DEPARTMENT

This Department Supplies Bible Teachers, Evangelists and Gospel Singers for the church and mission work, and also conducts Bible Conferences, Music Classes and Evangelistic Meetings wherever called for.

MOODY BIBLE INSTITUTE MONTHLY

This is a periodical devoted to Bible knowledge and interpretation; news and methods of world-wide Christian work; editorial comment on current events and conditions; inspirational verse and selected miscellany. It is catholic in spirit and outlook; evangelistic and evangelical. Issued monthly, $2.00 a year to any address.

Rev. James M. Gray, D.D., Editor.

Catalog of the Day and Evening Schools, and Prospectus of the Correspondence School mailed free. Sample Copies of the Monthly mailed free. ADDRESS—

The Moody Bible Institute of Chicago

153 Institute Place Chicago, Illinois

THE MEMPHIS DELIVERANCES OF THE SOUTHERN BAPTIST EDUCATION ASSOCIATION

THAT "DREADFUL," "HORRIBLE" "PROPOSAL TO DIVIDE THE SOUTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION"!

The Editor of The Conflict did not intend to bring this matter into THE CONFLICT, as THE CONFLICT is not a denominational paper, but is for the purpose of driving Evolution out of our tax-supported school system, and for the purpose of informing the people as to Evolution, so that they may realize its terrible danger and curse.

But the article recently sent out by the Editor of THE CONFLICT to the Press throughout the country is so closely related to the work of THE CONFLICT, and has created such a stir, and is being so adroitly side-stepped and the issue so changed, that the much-talked of and much-observed article is here given in full.

As to the abuse being heaped upon the Editor of THE CONFLICT for sending out the article, and the impugning of his motives and the threatened boycotts of him and his work because of his fight against Evolution and Modernism,—let that all pass. June 1st, he will finish out twenty-five years as an Evangelist among the Baptists of America. Let that record speak for itself. He turned away from a flat salary of ten thousand dollars a year to give his life to the work of Southern Baptists; he has turned from two hundred and fifty dollars per week to go to weak Baptist Churches which did not pay enough to keep up his life insurance; to go to weak churches where he would have to borrow money to pay his helpers, and receive nothing for himself; his love for and devotion to the work of Southern Baptists is shown by the fact that in four of our general offices is his standing offer to stump the country, without salary, wherever our organized work needed it. Here is the Article:

A PROPOSAL TO DIVIDE THE SOUTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION

(By T. T. Martin, Evangelist)

I am deeply sensible of the gravity of the proposal to divide The Southern Baptist Convention. But it is far more serious not to divide it. WE HAVE COME TO A CRISIS.

The issue we are facing is Fundamentalism or Modernism. These terms have well defined meanings. Fundamentalism teaches that the Bible IS THE WORD OF GOD; Modernism teaches that the Bible CONTAINS THE WORD OF GOD; hence, that some of the Bible is God's word, some of it is not.

Fundamentalism teaches that the Savior was born of a human Mother without a human father; Modernism teaches that the Savior had both a human Mother and Father.

Fundamentalism teaches that the Saviour died for our sins, as our substitute, as our real Redeemer for all iniquity; Modernism denies that He was our substitute, denies that He actually paid for our sins. Fundamentalism teaches that the Savior is coming back to earth again in bodily presence; Modernism denies this and teaches that Christ's coming again will be a spiritual coming.

Fundamentalism teaches a future bodily resurrection; Modernism denies this.

Fundamentalism teaches that by direct creation God brought the different species into existence; Modernism teaches that God brought them into existence thru the process of evolution; from lower animals to higher thru millions of years. All Modernists are Evolutionists.

Every honest Baptist of the South knows that Fundamentalism and Modernism "can no more mingle than the pure lights of Heaven and the awful fires of Hell" that "there is a clash here as profound and as grim as that between Christianity and Confucianism."

As the Editor of the Christian Century of Chicago, a Modernist, puts it, "Amiable works cannot hide the difference 'Blessed be the tie' may be sung until doomsday, but it cannot bind these two worlds together. The God of the fundamentalist is one God; the God of the Modernist is another. The Bible of the fundamentalist is one Bible; the Bible of the Modernist is another. The Church, the Kingdom, the salvation, the consummation of all things—these are one thing to the fundamentalist and another thing to the Modernist."

"AS GO OUR SCHOOLS, SO GO OUR YOUNG PEOPLE." The Southern Baptist Education Association, at its recent meeting in Memphis, Tenn., went on record as endorsing the following:

"The Bible cannot be taken literally and never was meant to be." "The Colleges take no nominal sides of either of the factions, avoid alliance with either fundamentalism or modernism and strive to bring them together on a working basis."

A COLLEGE THAT WILL "AVOID ALLIANCE" WITH THE TEACHING THAT THE BIBLE IS THE WORD OF GOD; THAT THE SAVIOUR WAS BORN OF THE VIRGIN MARY, THAT THE SAVIOUR DIED FOR OUR SINS, AS OUR SUBSTITUTE, THAT HE IS COMING TO THE EARTH AGAIN IN BODILY PRESENCE, AND THAT THERE WILL BE A RESURRECTION OF OUR BODIES, HAS NO RIGHT TO GO TO HONEST BAPTISTS FOR THEIR MONEY, AND IS OBTAINING MONEY UNDER FALSE PRETENCES.

Again: "Let the colleges emphasize the fact that fundamentalism and modernism are not necessarily exclusive of one another."

A COLLEGE PROFESSOR OR PRESIDENT WHO WOULD STAND FOR THAT IS UNWORTHY OF TRAINING ANY CHRISTIAN'S CHILDREN, AND NO YOUNG MAN OR WOMAN IS SAFE UNDER SUCH TEACHERS.

Again: "Now, with these two schools of thought (fundamentalism and modernism) before them, what are the colleges to do? Both parties belong to our denomination, as do the Colleges, and both, therefore, have somewhat to do with our Colleges."

"Both parties" ought not to belong to our denomination. The modernists have not the honest manhood to get out; then they ought to be put out. They have no right "to have somewhat to do with the Colleges." But that is just what they are after, to "have somewhat to do with the Colleges" and virtually steal the hard earned money of Baptists who gave it for the propagation of what fundamentalism stands for; and having stolen it, to use it to damn our young people.

Again: "The Southern Baptist Educators appear unwilling to be classified in either school. IN GENERAL THE ANSWER OF THE COLLEGE OFFICIALS ARE BY NO MEANS CONDEMNATORY OF MODERNISM."

Remember, reader, that That Was Endorsed By The Southern Baptist Educacion Association.

The North went just this way and we are following more rapidly than they went.

EVERYBODY WHO IS POSTED KNOWS THAT EVOLUTION IS AT THE BOTTOM OF ALL THIS, AND THAT THIS IS TO COVER UP AND PROTECT A FEW EVOLUTIONISTS AMONG US. IS IT TRUE, IS IT MANLY, IS IT CHRISTIAN TO THUS BLIND THE COMMON PEOPLE AND LEAD THEM INTO THE CAMP OF THE EVOLUTIONISTS? CAN OUR OFFICIAL LEADERS AFFORD TO CRUCIFY THEIR CONSCIENCES AND LEAD OUR CHURCHES ASTRAY THIS WAY?

A division of the Southern Baptist Convention will settle all of this trouble.

Let us, by vote of the Convention, agree to divide peaceably, in this way: let all who endorse this action, this stand taken by the Southern Baptist Education Association, go into one Convention; let all who reject and repudiate this action go into the other Convention.

Let the proposition be submitted to every church in the Southern Baptist Convention; to every College; to every denominational paper; to every pastor; to every Evangelist; to every church on foreign Fields; to every Missionary.

The dividing line territorially, could be the Mississippi River; allowing churches to go to either Convention, though not in that Convention territory.

Where there is a division as to a College or other property, one Convention can buy the other's interest.

As to the property owned by the Southern Baptist Convention or our Boards, this can all be adjusted by a commission.

Modernists ought to have enough self-respect, enough sense of fairness, not to wish to hold churches and preachers against their convictions; those who stand for the Fundamentals do not wish to hold the Modernists. Those who are "Flunkeying to Modernism", the stool-pigeons for Evolution, will be our chief opposers.

Our curses are those abominations of desolation, the straddlers, and "the man with a hole under the fence", who can bob up on either side, as his interest may seem to demand.

Let these other two bodies push these two aside and separate in peace. If this is not done, there are going to be fearful division and strife; there are yet seven thousand who have not bowed the knees to Baal. The Southern Baptist Education Association would not have dared to endorse these statements I have quoted before the 75 million campaign. Not one-third of the money that was given would have been given, had this action been taken before the 75 million campaign, but now that they have our money, they pop their fingers in our faces, and turn to boot-lick John D. Rockefeller Jr., for his money, too.

Mark my words: SOUTHERN BAPTISTS WILL YET REPUDIATE THE SCHOOLS AND MEN WHO PUT THROUGH THIS ACTION BY SOUTHERN BAPTIST EDUCATION ASSOCIATION. THE LAST ONE OF THEM SHOULD HAVE THE CHRISTIAN MANHOOD TO RESIGN.

Since this article was sent to the press, the plea has repeatedly been made that the Southern Baptist Education Association did not endorse this paper read by Mr. Vann.

They requested Mr. Vann to prepare the paper; he wrote and consulted the college officials; he read the digest of their views to them; without one word of protest, without a dissenting voice, they voted to have the paper printed and scattered broadcast. If that is not tantamount to endorsement, the Devil himself would not give the toss-up of a nickel for the difference.

But it is pleaded that in Memphis the Southern Baptist Education Association did endorse and send forth an orthodox statement.

Exactly! the orthodox statement to catch the fundamentalists, and the Vann paper to please the Modernitss!

But in this orthodox statement,

(1) There is not one word as to the inspiration of the Scriptures. They refer to "the sufficiency and authority of the Scriptures in all matters of faith and practice." Any Modernist or Unitarian would say that;

(2) There is not one word about the substitutionary atonement of the Saviour.

But notice some statements: "We joyfully acknowledge ourselves in complete harmony with the convictions and objections of our fathers."

Yet in the other paper which they authorized to be printed and sent out is the statement that these Colleges "AVOID ALLIANCE" with fundamentalism which teaches that the Bible is the word of God, which teaches the Virgin Birth of our Saviour, which teaches that He died for our sins, that He gave himself for us that He might redeem us from all iniquity, which teaches that God created the different species "after their kind," which teaches that God created man in His own image.

And in that paper is also the statement, "In general the answers of the College officials are by no means condemnatory of Modernism," which teaches that the Bible is not all the word of God, but "contains" the word of God, that the Saviour was not born of the Virgin, that He did not die for our sins, as our substitute to redeem us from all iniquity, that God did not create the different species "after their kind," that God did not create man in his own image, but that the first man was midway the anthropoid ape and modern man.

Let him who will reconcile these statements. If that is not "running with the bear and whooping up the hounds," how much does it miss it?

Again: "We are loyal to the old truth." IS IT "LOYALTY TO THE OLD TRUTH" TO "AVOID ALLIANCE" WITH THE TEACHINGS THAT THE BIBLE IS THE WORD OF GOD, THAT THE SAVIOUR WAS BORN OF THE VIRGIN MARY, THAT HE DIED FOR OUR SINS, THAT THROUGH HIS BLOOD ALONE WE HAVE REDEMPTION FROM ALL INIQUITY, THAT GOD CREATED THE DFFERENT SPECIES "AFTER THEIR KIND", THAT GOD GREATED MAN IN HIS OWN IMAGE?

But again, "And we are hospitable to the new truth." THERE YOU HAVE IT! THE ISSUE IS FUNDAMENTALISM AND MODERNISM. THERE IS NO OTHER! THEY GO ON RECORD AS CALLING MODERNISM "TRUTH", "NEW TRUTH," THAT THE BIBLE IS NOT THE WORD OF GOD, BUT "CONTAINS" THE WORD OF GOD, THAT THE ORIGINAL BIRTH OF THE SAVIOR IS NOT TRUE, THAT THE SAVIOR DID NOT DIE AS OUR SUBSTITUTE FOR OUR SINS TO REDEEM US FROM ALL INIQUITY, THAT GOD DID NOT CREATE THE DIFFERENT SPECIES "AFTER THEIR OWN KIND", THAT GOD DID NOT CREATE THE FIRST MAN IN HIS OWN IMAGE, BUT

(continued on page seven)

Just Off The Press **The Little Evangel** Small but Songful

THE CHOICEST OF ALL SONG-LAND
EMPHASIZING THE EVANGELISTIC NOTE

Such Songs at Such a Price

$12.50 per 100, carriage extra; $2.00 per dozen. 25 cents per copy, postpaid.

ROUND AND SHAPED NOTES
ROBERT H. COLEMAN
Depository also in Chicago DALLAS, TEXAS.

THE MEMPHIS DELIVERANCES OF THE SOUTHERN BAPTIST EDUCATION ASSOCIATION

(continued from page six)

THAT THE FIRST MAN WAS MID-WAY BETWEEN THE ANTHROPOID APE AND MODERN MAN.

Let the truth be told: the great body of our Southern Baptist Educators are sound men; they believe the teachings of fundamentalism; they love those teachings; but they have gotten into the Southern Baptist Education Association, which is dominated by a few Evolutionists and Modernists or Evolution and Modernist sympathizers, and are being used as stool-pigeons.

These Evolution and Modernist sympathizers use these sound Baptist Educators to get money for the schools from the Baptists of the South; then when they are exposed for this unfaithfulness and unsoundness, they hide behind the skirts of these sound Baptist Educators and cry that we are fighting the Education work of Southern Baptists.

The Indians used to capture the wife or child of the settlers and hold the wife or child between himself and the settler, to prevent the settler from shooting.

These Evolution and Modernist sympathizers hold the sound Baptist Educators of the South between them and those who protest against the poisoning and damning of our people with their "new truth", toward which they are so "hospitable", and who are trying to save our young people from the Bible-denying, Christ-betraying, faith-destroying, soul-damning curses of Evolution and Modernism. Modernism is Evolution dressed up in preachers' clothes.

As to the proposal to divide the Southern Baptist Convention, the Editor of THE CONFLICT has no hobby; if the brethren choose to remain together and continue to have strife and division rather than peacable separation, he will continue to work with his brethren; but the fight against Evolution and Modernism will not stop until the Editor of THE CONFLICT is laid beneath the sod.

As to the odium heaped upon the Editor of THE CONFLICT for having made the proposal there were Southern Baptist preachers who proposed a division of a great Baptist Convention over keeping their fellowmen in slavery, and they were not branded as traitors and crucified for making the proposal. This issue is far greater. It is the issue of "avoiding alliance" with the teachings that the Bible is the word of God, that the Savior was born of a human mother without a human father, that He died for our sins to redeem us from all iniquity, that God created the different species "after their kind", that God created man in His own image. It is the issue of those who are to train our young men and women and our young preachers taking the stand that they "are not at all condemnatory of Modernism", which teaches that the Bible is not the word of God, but only contains the word of God; that the Saviour was not born of the Virgin, that He did not die for our sins that He might redeem us from all iniquity; that God did not create the different species "after their kind;" that God did not create man in His own image; but that the first man was mid-way between the Anthropoid ape and the modern man.

It is not pleasant to stand alone; it is excruciating to the flesh to be looked upon, to be held up, as a disturber; but when the Baptists' world meeting at Stockholm, Swedeen, voted down A. C. Dixon's resolution, "The whole Bible and the whole Christ for the whole world." a protest, a proposal for a division upon that issue, would have been far better than in order to avoid the scorn and abuse and ridicule of liberal Baptists, let that action go to the world as the action of the Baptists of the world.

The issue raised by this Memphis deliverance was far more serious, and the Editor of THE CONFLICT who has given his life in Southern Baptist work, would rather have gone to his grave hounded by every Baptist in the South than not to have gone the limit in protesting against this most insidious, most far-reaching, most dangerous thing that was ever put over on Southern Baptists.

Thank God that every Southern Baptist Educator so far heard from has repudiated this paper sent out by the Southern Baptist Education Association, and there will be many others who will repudiate it.

o

THE ROYAL CONFLICT

(continued from page five)

into Thy hands I commit my spirit.' This is a quotation from the 31st Psalm. And then again, 'After this, Jesus knowing that all things were accomplished, that the Scripture might be fulfilled, said, I thirst;' and did you know, brother, that those words of Christ, 'Eloi, Eloi, lama, sabacthani,' are a direct quotation from the 22nd Psalm? I do not see how there could be a stronger proof that Christ regarded the Old Testament to be from God than that which is seen in the manner in which He clung to its actual words in the awful hour of His death. People at such moments do not catch at mere human words, and surely Christ above all others would not."

"I wonder," said Brandol, "if Christ had anything to say about the Old Testament after His resurrection, and during the forty days He spent on earth before ascending to the Father. All these words which you have mentioned were spoken by Him before His crucifixion and resurrection. He was different after His resurrection."

"Let me see," she said, running her eyes along Matthew's account of Christ's actions during these last forty days. She also examined Mark's parallel account, but found no words of Christ concerning the Scriptures in either of these gospels. "Yes, here it is in Luke," she exclaimed. "On the road to Emmanus, with the two disciples. Listen, 'And beginning at Moses and all the prophets He expounded unto them in all the Scriptures the things concerning Himself.' There, you see, in the first place, what the word Scriptures meant. It was a book containing the writings of Moses and the prophets. You see Christ here, after His resurrection, expounding out of the Scriptures the things concerning Himself. I do not wonder they said to each other, 'Did not our hearts burn within us, as He talked with us by the way, and while He opened unto us the Scriptures?' Just think of Christ, at such a time, taking a mere human book and opening up the book that they might understand it and expounding in it things concerning Himself! And look here in this 45th verse. Christ had just appeared in the midst of His disciples, and after eating with them 'then opened He their understanding that they might understand the Scriptures,' and He said unto them, 'Thus it is written, and thus is behoved Christ to suffer and to rise from the dead the third day.' There, you see Christ showed how He had to suffer, die and rise again according to the Scriptures. It looks as if Christ thought that the highest duty He had to perform was to carry out everything that the Old Testament said about Himself; as if God had written out a plan for Him to follow and had put it in the Old Testament, and Christ had come to carry out this plan; and just think how closely Christ followed the plan. He was all the time doing things that it might be fulfilled that was spoken by the prophets.' Do you think He would have been so careful to observe these words of the Old Testament, if He had not believed they were from God and were a part of the great divine plan?"

"You are a philosopher and orator combined," said Brando with an earnest smile.

"Brother Brandol! You are making fun of me, but don't you think Christ believed thoroughly in the inspiration of the Old Testament?"

"Suppose you let me ask you a conundrum," he said. "Don't you think it a little strange that if Christ believed in the inspiration of the Old Testament, He did not come out in express terms and say so? You have merely inferred from His treatment of it that He believed in its inspiration."

"But here is a passage," she said, "Let's see what it is, Matt. 22: 31."

"'But as touching the resurrection of the dead have ye not read that which was spoken unto you by God saying.'"

"Oh, isn't that a strong passage? 'Have ye not read'—in the Old Testament, of course."

"How do you know He meant the Old Testament?"

"He is talking about the great doctrine of the resurrection, and in this twenty-ninth verse He says, 'Ye do err not knowing the scriptures.' There is the word 'Scriptures'. That shows that the Scriptures meant the Old Testament, for He is quoting from Exodus, one of the Old Testament books. 'Ye do err,' He said, 'not knowing the scriptures nor the power of God.' Now do you suppose that Christ, in trying to prove to them something about the resurrection, would point them to some words which were claimed to be from God when in fact they were nothing more than the words of man?"

Brandol wore a crestfallen look. "This brings us to another stage of our argument," he said. "I see you never surrender, but always like to take the parting shot. Suppose I admit all you say about Christ and the Old Testament, it would only prove that He believed that certain parts of the Old Testament—certain prophecies were inspired—but that does not prove that He believed that every part of the Old Testament was inspired."

"You will have to give me time to study up this matter," she said. "I have not as yet examined any passage bearing on that point, though I feel sure that Christ looked upon the entire Old Testament as inspired. He seemed to have that idea in His mind whenever He spoke of Scriptures."

"All right, my young teacher, I will give you until after dinner on that point, and then I will be ready for my next lesson."

"Brother Brandol, you are making fun of me. I do not look upon our study as a joke, for my whole heart is wrapped up in it."

"So is mine," he said, with such a tender earnestness that she looked up at him suddenly with an almost startled gaze.

(To be continued)

o

THE EDITOR MAKES HIS BOW TO GRAY

In "The Moody Bible Institute Monthly", President J. M. Gray of The Moody Bible Institute makes the following reference to the Editor of THE CONFLICT which we deeply appreciate:

"MODERNISM STRIKING AT THE FOUNDATIONS"

"But how, it may be asked, or in what direction is Modernism striking at the foundation of our republic?

"1. It is striking at our system of education. I may illustrate what I mean by saying, that just now there is a stirring Southern evangelist from Mississippi, T. T. Martin, who is engaged in a praiseworthy nation-wide crusade to influence our state legislators. He is delivering a lecture entitled, 'Evolution of Christ, Christ or Hell, or, Shall the People of the United States be Taxed to Damn Their Own Children?'

"The title requires no interpretation. The crusader has come to see what William Jennings Bryan saw earlier, viz.,

(continued on page nine)

NEW SUBSCRIBERS CAN

Begin their subscriptions with the first number of the paper and get all back numbers if they wish and will so state.

LIBERAL TERMS TO AGENTS

who will take subscriptions for THE CONFLICT

LIBERAL TERMS TO CHURCHES

where they put THE CONFLICT in every home in the Church.

SUBSCRIBE TODAY!

FOR

The King's Business

(The Bible Family Magazine)

Issued in Two Sections For One Price—$1.25 Per Year. (Foreign $1.50)

Large MAGAZINE Section (Monthly) S. S. Lesson Helps (Quarterly)

Some of the Good Things

THE SUNDAY SCHOOL LESSON HELPS (both International and Whole Bible) are issued in the form of a Quarterly Supplement. The one subscription price of $1.25 covers both Magazine and Sunday School Sections.

"IS THE BIBLE THE WORD OF GOD?" Is discussed each month by Dr. F. E. Marsh, the well known Bible Teacher, Author, and Editor of "The Prophetic Witness," of London. This series of articles alone is worth much more than the subscription price of the magazine to any believer who desires to be fully fortified in the fight in defense of the faith.

THE MOST CONSISTENT AND CONSTRUCTIVE METHOD for teachers and preachers is to take some one book of the Bible and go through it systematically and consecutively. In this way, every doctrinal subject is covered just as presented in the Scriptures. Every issue of The King's Business contains such a Book Study, by the editor, the Book of Jude (which is so full of warnings and so applicable to the times in which we live) being studied now.

THE CHILDREN'S GARDEN page is so arranged that is can be detached from the magazine and folded into a "Junior King's Business" by the children and, if desired, at the end of the year bound into an attractive little book.

A SPLENDID CONTINUED STORY by Josephine Hope Westervelt, entitled "Fine Gold" of intense and gripping interest and deep spiritual import.

——USE THIS COUPON——

T. C. HORTON,
Editor, King's Business
536-558 S. Hope St.
Los Angeles, California.

Enclosed, please find $..... for which please enter.... subscription.. to The King's Business.

Name .....

Address .....

EVOLUTION NOT SCIENCE

By. Dr. ARTHUR I. BROWN, M.D., C.M., F.R.C.S.E., Vancouver, B. C.

ACQUIRED CHARACTERS AND THEIR TRANSMISSION

BY ARTHUR I. BROWN, M.D., C.M., Fellow Royal College of Surgeons, Edinburgh.

Herbert Spencer declared in the Contemporary Review, Feb.-Mar. 1893: "EITHER THERE HAS BEEN TRANSMISSION OF ACQUIRED CHARACTERS OR THERE HAS BEEN NO EVOLUTION",—and he was right.

This being true, the particular phase of the evolutionary problem,—known as the Doctrine of Lamarck, after the scientist who promulgated it with so much force, if not cogency,—becomes one of the greatest importance. By it, we may judge the reasonableness or the absurdity of faith in the evolutionary process.

Before discussing the subject in more detail, it may be observed that most evolutionary scientists are fond of creating the impression in the public mind, THAT THESE PROBLEMS ARE OF IMMENSE DIFFICULTY AND ARE ONLY POSSIBLE OF UNDERSTANDING BY SCIENTIFIC INTELLECTS TRAINED ALONG SPECIAL LINES.

We are given to understand by these authorities that ORDINARY INDIVIDUALS MUST REMAIN IN IGNORANCE OF THE FINE POINTS OF THE QUESTION, MUST NOT THINK FOR THEMSELVES BUT MUST ACCEPT THE DOGMATIC CONCLUSIONS OFFERED BY THEIR SUPERIORS.

Also it appears that even a SCIENTIST of recognized standing who has the temerity to deny the truth of evolution, IS OF INFERIOR GRADE AND NOT COMPETENT TO EXPRESS ANY OPINION WORTHY OF CREDENCE.

This strange delusion of these "advanced", "modern", scientists, is one of the interesting phenomena of our materialistic age. But there has been a noticeable change of attitude on the part of many who formerly accepted these teachers at their own valuation, and now discover that they have been victims of a gigantic hoax.

Really, there is little difficulty of comprehending the essential points of this evolutionary issue. God has made all the IMPORTANT things in His universe EASY to understand, and IF IT HAD NOT BEEN FOR THE ARTIFICIAL SMOKE-SCREEN ERECTED BY OPPONENTS OF THE GENESIS ACCOUNT OF CREATION, LONG AGO WOULD THE THEORY HAVE BEEN RELEGATED TO THE LIMBO OF FORGOTTEN ABSURDITIES.

Any person with ability to think and weigh the significance of observed facts of Nature, IS JUST AS ABLE AS THE SCIENTIST TO FORM ACCURATE JUDGMENTS WHEN ALL EVIDENCE IS PLACED BEFORE HIM. In making this statement, the invaluable services and accomplishments of many indefatigable investigators, are neither ignored nor undervalued.

In order to make the subject of this paper clear and intelligible, a short general survey of the question of evolution may be taken.

In the first place, what are we to understand by "evolution"? We must always bear in mind that it is not synoymous with growth or development, as so often is implied by those advocates who speak of various "evolutions," as the fruit from the bud, the modern, complex steam engine from the early and simpler forms, or the "advanced" present-day, political, social and spiritual concepts from the ancient, crude beginnings.

These changes are not at all comparable with those involved in the theory of organic evolution, which deals with the problem of the arrival into our modern world of countless life-forms.

Evolution is much more than mere growth, and is a process independent of outside interference from human hands or thought. LeConte defines it as "continuous progressive change, according to certain laws, by means of *resident* forces." The word "resident" excludes any argument drawn from a comparison with the improved products of man's mechanical skill. In these there are no 'resident' forces, the only power applied being that of human genius.

Evolution begins with one tiny protoplasmic cell, originated by something, somehow, somewhere millions of years past, into which cell have been gradually and continually incorporated, countless minute and beneficial changes brought about by some constant, irresistible Force operating usually acording to the "Law" of uniformity, but assisted occasionally by the *"Something,"* to bring about abrupt and very marked beneficial variations.

At certain stages in its onward and upward career, entirely new qualities or characters appear, characters quite apart from any possessed by the original humble parent. It must be remembered in this connection, that the advocates of the Lamarckian doctrine, hold that practically all modern attributes of life-forms are additions to the first cell. In other words, they have not been inherited from the first parents, but gradually and laboriously acquired by the inventive genius of this remarkably ambitious bit of protoplasm.

This infinitesimal particle of sea-slime is given credit for displaying marvellous skill in supplying itself with those tissues, organs and powers indispensable to its continued existence and steady progress.

According to the theory, these characters, once acquired, became inheritable, and each improvement, no matter how minute, was invariably and of necessity handed down to the child. As this hypothetical process continued for an indefinite, but almost unlimited, number of ages, the accumulating and developing modifications eventually produced the perfected, modern organism.

Evolution requires that every change, to be retained, must be beneficial. If not an improvement, it is rejected. This is Darwin's conception of the Selection by Nature of advantageous changes, the sloughing off of detrimental variations, and the Survival of the Fittest, which doctrine, though now acknowledged, even by most evolutionists, to be erroneous, is after all, THE ONLY BASIS UPON WHICH ANY HYPOTHESIS OF EVOLUTION CAN BE BUILT.

THERE IS NO OTHER KIND OF EVOLUTION THAN THE DARWINIAN. Its essential concepts have been modified in some unimportant particulars, but the arguments remain virtually unchanged.

Still we are informed that no reputable modern scientist holds Darwin's views, because the accumulation of new facts has brought about radical modifacations. In fact, as J. Authur Thomson puts it, "EVOLUTION ITSELF IS EVOLVING"!

Believing this, it is possible for the ardent advocate of this mythical process to be satisfied with *anything* today, no matter how preposterous, knowing that he may cheerfully discard it tomorrow, since even his thinking apparatus is wholly governed by the LAW (?) of Evolution!

There are many obvious, grotesquely absurd and contradictory phases of this evotionary theory,—contradictions which have never been and can never be explained.

How, for instance, can it be considered anything but the sheerest nonsense to affirm that only *beneficial* variations in development are retained and and transmitted, and then naively to argue, that, for almost an eternity of time, slowly growing, useless and partially developed tissues, organs, or limbs—incapable of performing any function, but, in their imperfect state, constituting rather hindering encumbrances to the organism—were yet permitted to remain because this microscopical marvel of intelligence was looking forward to the far-distant period when the sprouting leg might be available for walking,—that is, if a companion leg or legs would be sufficiently sympathetic and farsighted to keep pace?

Of course, one leg would be no benefit when two are necessary for locomotion. And also, they must be of suitable length, and so related to each other that the process of locomotion might be adequately carried out. How unsatisfactory it would have been if one leg were six inches long and the other three feet! If such a mistake had occurred, we would now be distinguished by a most awkward "hipptey-hoppety" gait.

What a calamity too, if this artful and ambitious cell had started on one leg sprouting upward and the other downward, or at the side!

Nor would one muscle serve any good purpose in a place where two or many are required for proper functioning.

Think of our miserable plight if the face had been placed on the back of our head, so that while walking forward we looked backward.

How fortunate that the eyes —chance result of the steady play of light rays on certain spots, according to the evolutionist—did not appear on the top of the head. Our only consolation, in that case, would be possible improvement in the human temperament, seeing that we would inherit the "upward look."

The body is full of organs and tissues, which would be useless without the constant and efficient complementary operations of other organs and tissues. The fact that no accidents of construction are to be found, demonstrates, beyond a doubt, purpose and design.

But Purpose nullifies evolution, which is said to arise from haphazard, chance variations, seized upon by the watchful victim of ever changing environmental conditions and other uncertain factors.

This argument confers on this protoplasmic microcosm, inconceivable powers of inventive genius, and grants the bit of life-stuff not yet in possession of a developed functioning

(continued on page nine)

THE EVOLUTION ISSUE

It is not a fight against science; scores of great scholars are on record declaring that Evolution is not a Science at all.—only a Hypothesis, an unproven guess.

Over a thousand great Scientists are on record as rejecting Evolution. Genesis says that God "Created great whales;" Evolution, Theistic and Atheistic, says that that's a lie, that the ancestors of whales had four legs, walked around on the land as other animals, that whales evolved from the lower animals; Genesis says that God created every living creature that moveth which the waters brought forth abundantly." Evolution says that that's a lie; that all species on the earth and in the ocean evolved up from the amoeba, the first living thing, to man; Genesis says that God created "Every winged fowl;" Evolution says that that's a lie,—that fowls evolved up from reptiles; Genesis says that "God created man in His own image;" Evolution says that that's a lie, that the first man was mid-way between the Anthropoid ape and modern man; Genesis says ten times that God created everything "after his kind;" Evolution says that there are ten lies: Genesis says that the first man spoke a plain language; Evolution says that that is a lie, that the first man had no language, but learned language, through many generations of experience; Genesis says that the first man by sin fell; Evolution says that that is a lie; that the first man never fell, but that man has always been evolving upward; Genesis says that God sent a flood and destroyed man from the earth, save Noah and his family; Evolution says that that is a lie. Now the Savior endorsed Genesis as the Word of God; if Evolution is true, then He endorsed those lies as the Word of God: Diety, God's real Son, would not endorse a tissue of lies as God's Word; hence, Evolution makes the Savior in the minds of those who believe it, merely the illegitimate son of a fallen woman.

As a result, investigation revealed that in nine colleges 15 per cent of the Sophomore class, 30 per cent of the Juniors and 45 per cent of the Seniors had given up the Bible and the Savior as real Redeemer; that of 5,500 teachers of Science, 67 per cent of the teachers of Physics, 77 per cent of the teachers of History, 80 per cent of the teachers of Scoiology, 83 per cent of the teachers of Biology, and 86 per cent of the teachers of Psychology have given up the Bible as God's Word and the Savior as real Redeemer.

The people are being forced to pay taxes to pay teachers to drill this teaching into their children, from primary to university. It is clearly a flagrant violation of the spirit of the Constitution of the United States which guarantees religious liberty. To compel us to send our children to tax-supported schools, to compel us to pay taxes to pay teachers to drill into our children, under the plea of Science, the teaching that means that the Bible is a tissue of lies and that the Savior was not God's real Son, but the illegitimate son of a fallen woman, means crushing religious liberty and trampling on the Constitution of the United States.

This campaign does not mean to get religion taught in the tax-supported schools; it does mean that if religion cannot be taught in the tax-supported schools, it should not be UNDERMINED, SUBMARINED, ATTACKED AND DESTROYED THERE.

THE ONLY HOPE is through local boards of trustees and through the legislatures to exclude all Evolution teachers and all evolution school books from all the tax-supported schools.

If such an issue does not call for a conflict, what issue would?

Acquired Characters And Their Transmission

(continued from page eight)

brain—a mental and intellectual capacity, far surpassing that of the modern evolutionary scientist who believes himself to have attained the pinnacle of cerebral perfection, and to have achieved a brain just recently come to the happy stage where further improvement is an utter impossibility.

Thus, viewed from any angle, the argument falls down.

DeVries and others realized the ridiculous position into which the Darwinian concept had forced its credulous and over-zealous adherents. To obviate the very serious difficulties confronting them, they began to stress those occasional, abrupt and large changes occurring in one generation. These are called "mutations." They are comparatively few and scattered, and the new form always shows the inheritance of parental structures. In the general scheme and as an argument against Creation, this factor may be ignored at this time.

Some explanatory observations will assist in understanding the subject of "acquired characters".

No two plants are exactly alike, the differences being of two distinct kinds. One kind of variations is of the nature of modifications born with the nature of modifications born with the organism, inherited from the parent, and handed down to the children, probably through the chromosomes of the cells.

Every body contains two kinds of cells, one somatic or body cells, forming the tissues, organs, muscles, nerves, and other structures.

The second kind of cells are known as germ or reproductive cells, and, as picturesquely described by George McCready Price in his latest book, "The Phantom of Organic Evolution", are the "cellular aristocracy", since they are in a class apart, preserving their individuality, and never uniting with the body cells, to which they give rise. They are not engaged in the ordinary routine work of the body, but perform one duty only,—producing new individuals similar to the one they inhabit.

These reproductive cells contain the chromosomes which carry the unchangeable hereditary characters, the constant and inevitable gift of parent to offspring.

The second kind of variations are fluctuating changes due to altered environmental conditions and other factors such as use and disuse, food and climate. These are known as "acquired characters", and, as this paper seeks to prove, are never passed on by heredity to succeeding generations.

There are almost countless variation possibilities in the individuals of every generation, yet none but the germ cell qualities can be inherited. Each life-form is known to contain more characters than it can ever manifest in any one individual, therefore some of these characters are necessarily latent, hidden or recessive, while the others, manifesting themselves, are said to be dominant temporarily over the hidden ones.

Both dominant and recessive peculiarities appear with mathematical precision in successive generations, as abundantly proved by Mendel's Law. This Law, of which Darwin was ignorant, has illuminated and explained many hitherto mysterious and apparently contradictory features in heredity. When logically considered, IT MAKES EVOLUTION AN IMPOSSIBILITY, BY SHOWING THAT ACQUIRED CHARACTERS ARE NEVER TRANSMITTED, and that what seem to be new characters arising in certain generations, are simply the appearance of old ones previously latent, but now because of new and more favorable conditions, able to manifest themselves as dominant, while the others, in their turn, retire to temporary oblivion and become recessive.

What is the testimony of scientists on this question? There are two or three groups and a merry civil war wages between them.

One group follow August Weismann, Alfred Russel Wallace, and Sir Ray Lankester, who adhere strongly to Natural Selection, but scornfully repudiate the transmission of acquired characters.

They believe that the child never inherits any characters from the soma or body cell of the parent, but that all inheritance comes through the germ or reproductive cell. This latter is unaffected by changes in environment, food, etc., which are the factors in producing transient variations. These do not imprint themselves on the germ cell and so cannot be transmitted to succeeding generations.

The second group, led by Kammerer of Vienna and McBride of England, is much smaller but very determined. They make brave attempts to produce at least one example which will prove the transmission of acquired characters, but the specimens they show have not been accepted by the great majority of modern scholars. In fact, their evidence has been ridiculed by many of their evolutionary confreres, as not only unconvincing but misleading.

Those who believe in the inheritance of acquired characters, ask their opponents

What is the testimony of scientists on this question? There are two or three groups and a merry civil war wages between them.

One group follow August Weismann, Alfred Russel Wallace, and Sir Ray Lankester, who adhere strongly to Natural Selection, but scornfully repudiate the transmission of acquired characters.

They believe that the child never inherits any characters from the soma or body cell of the parent, but that all inheritance comes through the germ or reproductive cell. This latter is unaffected by changes in environment, food, etc., which are the factors in producing transient variations. These do not imprint themselves on the germ cell and so cannot be transmitted to succeeding generations.

The second group, led by Kammerer of Vienna and McBride of England, is much smaller but very determined. They make brave attempts to produce at least one example which will prove the transmission of acquired characters, but the specimens they show have not been accepted by the great majority of modern scholars. In fact, their evidence has been ridiculed by many of their evolutionary confreres, as not only unconvincing but misleading.

Those who believe in the inheritance of acquired characters ask their opponents how Natural Selection can possibly start a single organ of a single organic type; and if it cannot be started, how it can be finished.

The obvious rejoinder of Lankester, Thomson, Conklin, Osborn and others, is that they are "from Missouri", and will only believe in the Lamarckian Doctrine when they see it exemplified in some undoubted examples, such as have not yet been forthcoming. They prefer to place their faith in the equally impossible factor—Natural Selection!

Those evolutionists who are influenced by the strength of Mendian experiments, constitute a third group looking with more or less amusement at the other two combatants.

Price puts the situation very clearly. He writes: "They (the Mendelian evolutionists) stand for the origin of species by "jumps" or "mutations"; and they are very enthusiastic in bringing forward and explaining their specimens. Which they have manufactured, so to speak, to prove their contentions. They would like to believe in the inheritance of acquired characters, if they could only see any way whereby the effects of the environment or of use and disuse, could become registered in the chromosomes, and thus be passed along the stream of germ-plasm in heredity. But as well-authenticated examples are still lacking, they fall back upon some unknown method, by which the chromosomes may become modified, and thus these modifications get within the charmed circle of the germ-plasm and become a part of the future generation.

"But some Mendelians have no use for the theory of natural selection. In their estimation, this theory was founded on many mistaken ideas, and is now of little scientific interest, except historically. The Mendelians are so nearly masters of the entire field that the voices raised in revolt against them are weak and timorous; though once in a while, someone like E. W. McBride is heard shouting that Mendelism has led the evolutionary hosts into "a cul-de-sac" (Science Progress, Jan. 1922)."

The leading biologists of the world are ALMOST A UNIT IN REPUDIATING NATURAL SELECTION AS A FACTOR OF ANY IMPORTANCE IN ORGANIC EVOLUTION. These scientists include Morgan, Lock, Willis, Tansley, McMurrich, Cunningham and William Bateson.

Prof. Adam Sedgewick, the eminent British embryologist, writing in the Encylopedia Britannica on "Embryology", quotes with approval, Huxley's statement.

"An impartial survey of positively ascertained truth, negatives the common doctrines of progressive modification, or a necessary progress from more or less embryonic forms—it either shows us no evidence of any such modification or demonstrations if to be very slight".

Prof. H. H. Newman, "Readings in Evolution, Genetics and Eugenics", 1922 p 31, writes:

"The logical conclusion....is that the changes in the soma, no matter how produced, are helpless to produce any effect upon the germ-plasm, since germ cells can come only from germ cells and not from soma cells. Consequently WEISMANN LED THE ASSAULT AGAINST LAMARCKISM AND WON THE DAY SO CONCLUSIVELY THAT EVEN IN THESE MODERN TIMES FEW BIOLOGISTS HAVE THE TEMERITY TO EXPRESS ALOUD ANY DEFINITE BELIEF IN THE INHERITANCE OF ACQUIRED CHARACTERS."

Prof. Vernon Kellog, Leland Stanford University, writing in "The New Republic", April 11, 1923, says:

"Lamarck's explanation..... that plant or animal individuals do become adaptively modified during their lifetime in response to environmental conditions assumes that such individually acquired characters or changes are passed on, in some degree, by heredity, to the offspring of these individuals, which in turn further change and pass on these changes by heredity, and so on through succeeding generations until new types of species and increased degree of adaptation or fitness result.

"This is a plausible explanation, but one wholly dependent on the "inheritance of acquired characters", which, UNFORTUNATELY DOES NOT SEEM TO HAPPEN. BOTH EXTENSIVE OBSERVATION AND INTENSIVE EXPERIMENTATION, UNITE IN SHATTERING THIS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL ASSUMPTION IN THE LAMARCKIAN EXPLANATION OF EVOLUTION....And this conclusion, arrived at by modern study of the germ plasm and heredity mechanism, is confirmed by the observed results of completed development. ACQUIRED CHARACTERS, IN THE LAMARCKIAN SENSE, ARE NOT INHERITED."

Paul Kammerer of Vienna, perhaps the foremost advocate of Lamarckism, admits that "the present tendency in biology is to emphasize the unchangeableness of types"; and that the small changes occurring, "would be much too limited to bring about a development of species, and even still more limited to create larger groups and classes."

In the "Literary Review," Feb. 23, 1924, p. 538., he writes: "THE THEORY OF EVOLUTION AT THE PRESENT TIME....IS RETURNING TO THE THEORY OF NON-EVOLUTION."

Price's comment on Kammerer's hobby, the alleged transmission in heredity, of characters acquired by the parents is that "IT IS MUCH LIKE THE CELEBRATED GLAMOUR OF LIGHT REFERRED TO BY WORDSWORTH.—IT IS A PHENOMENON WHICH NEVER WAS ON SEA OR LAND." (Phantom of Organic Evolution, 1924, p. 32).

T. H. Morgan, in October Yale Review, 1924, marshalls evidence against Lamarck's theory.

"DESPITE THE HIGH AUTHORITY OF DARWIN, THERE HAS BEEN A STEADY FALLING AWAY FROM THIS BELIEF AMONG BIOLOGISTS TRAINED IN MODERN METHODS. AT NO TIME HAVE I DECLARED MY RESULTS TO BE PROOF OF OR EVEN EVIDENCE FOR THE INHERITANCE OF ACQUIRED CHARACTERS. INDEED, I HAVE INSISTED THAT IN THE PRESENT STATE OF OUR PROBLEMS, THIS LATTER EXPRESSION (INHERITANCE OF ACQUIRED CHARACTERS) HAS BECOME HOPELESSLY OBSOLETE."

J. Arthur Thomson, whom no one can accuse of being antagonistic to evolution, writes in "Outline of Science", Vol 2 p. 374-375:

"Modifications are indents or imprints, variations are outcomes. According to the evolutionary theory of Lamarck, which Darwin accepted in some measure, the characters of a race may slowly change through the cumulative inheritance of the modifications which individuals acquire as the result of peculiarities in use and disuse, and in surroundings.....

"No one doubts the reality of modifications: one has only to look at the tanned skin of the African explorer. BUT WHAT IS DOUBTFUL IS THAT A MODIFICATION CAN BE PASSED ON FROM THE INDIVIDUAL THAT ACQUIRES IT, TO HIS OFFSPRING—passed on as such or in any representative degree. The modification may be very important, even lifesaving for the individual, but unless it can be transmitted it is not in any direct way important for the race."

"The skepticism as to transmission of bodily modifications was focussed by Sir Francis Galton and by Professor August Weismann; and many would say that *one of the great changes* in Darwinism since Darwin's day has been the *abandonment of belief in the Lamarckian postulate* of the transmission of modifications.

(continued on page eleven)

THE EDITOR MAKES HIS BOW TO GRAY

(continued from page seven)

that our state-supported institutions are robbing our boys and girls of a chance to believe in God as their Creator, Redeemer and Judge. They are doing this through the teaching of the evolutionary hypothesis camouflaged as scientific fact and it is Modernism that has encouraged and opened the way for them to do it.

"This is true not only of our state-supported schools but of our independent colleges also. The same atheism has found its way, and by the same means, into many of them."

A CLARION NOTE FROM FAR NORTH SENDS CHALLENGE TO MEN TO AWAKE AND FIGHT

(continued from page four)

WITHIN MATTER AND CHAINED BY THOSE LAWS OF HIS OWN MAKING WHICH MAN HAS BEEN ABLE TO DISCOVER. WITH THE GOD OF THE CHRISTIAN, MIRACLES ARE POSSIBLE AND NATURAL. WITH THE GOD OF THE MODERNIST (Evolutionist preacher—T. T. M.) A BOOK WRITTEN BY MAN BUT SO INSPIRED AS TO BE INFALLIBLE AND FREE FROM ERROR WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE—AND SO THE MODERNIST (Evolution preacher—T. T. M.) REJECTS THE BIBLE. THE VIRGIN BIRTH IS UNNATURAL, AND SO THE MODERNIST (Evolution preacher—T. T. M.) REJECTS THAT. A VICARIOUS, SUBSTITUTIONARY DEATH, A BODILY RESURRECTION FROM THE DEAD, A GLORIOUS VISIBLE RETURN OF CHRIST—THESE THINGS ARE NOT NATURAL, THEY ARE SUPERNATURAL, AND SO THE MODERNIST (Evolution preacher—T. T. M.) REJECTS THEM ALL, being inspired in this folly by what Dr. James Orr has called, "An anti-supernaturalistic presupposition!"

The conflict, I say, is one in which the Christian conception of the Bible as the Word of God is arrayed against the anti-Christian conception of the Bible as a merely human production.

It is one which the Christian conception of Jesus Christ as the Son of God is arrayed against the anti-Christian conception of Jesus as a merely human man.

It is, in short, as I have said, a conflict in which Christion supernaturalism is arrayed against anti-Christian naturalism in religion.

To put it in a word: IT IS A CONFLICT IN WHICH CHRISTIANITY IS ARRAYED AGAINST ANTI-CHRISTIANITY! AND THE MERE FACT THAT THE LATTER HAS PROFESSED RELIGION, AND JOIINED THE CHURCH, DOES NOT MAKE IT ANY THE LESS ANTI-CHRISTIAN. (That is anti-Evolution against Evolution, to a dot—T. T. M.)

The Implications of Neutrality

Can any Christian be neutral in such a conflict?

CAN ANY CHRISTIAN KEEP TO THE "MIDDLE OF THE ROAD" WITHOUT DISHONORING HIS CHRISTIAN PROFESSION AND DENYING HIS LORD?

I ANSWER "NO". NEUTRALITY IN THE PRESENT CONFLICT IMPLIES NOT ONLY A DOUBT IN THE WORTHINESS OF BOTH CHRIST AND THE SCRIPTURES, BUT ALSO IMPLIES AN ACQUIESCENCE IN, AND SYMPATHY WITH THE BLASPHEMIES OF MODERNISM! (Sanctimonious Evolution—T. T. M.)

Pilate affected neutrality while the apostate priests were clamoring for the death of Christ. AND WILL ANY ONE SAY THAT HIS NEUTRALITY WAS NOT, IN EFFECT, AN ACQUIESCENCE IN THE MURDER OF THE SON OF GOD?

Neutrality in the present conflict implies not only a doubt as to the worthiness of Christ and the Scriptures, and an acquiescence in and sympathy with the blasphemies of modernism, but also implies AN INCAPACITY FOR LOYALTY AND DECISIVENESS! I have more respect for the man who makes a wrong decision than I have for the man who makes none. I have more respect for the man who through mistaken zeal takes up arms in a wrong cause than I have for the man who through lack of zeal will take up arms in no cause!

I have more respect for zealous Saul on his way to Damascus to vindicate what he mistakenly believed was the true faith, than I have for discreet Gamaliel with his cautious counsel, "If this work be of men, it will come to naught: but if it be of God ye cannot overthrow it." Incidentally, zealous Saul is mentioned by name in the Word of God about 188 times while neutral Gamaliel is named but twice. The grace of God transforms the zealous Saul, who has a capacity for loyalty and decisiveness, while Gamaliel is lost and fades from the scene in the miasmal mists of his own neutrality. I have more respect for the man who [illegible] has wrong convictions than I have for the man who has no convictions! I HAVE MORE RESPECT FOR THE OUTSPOKEN, AGGRESSIVE MODERNIST (Evolution preacher—T. T. M.) THAN I HAVE FOR THE APATHETIC, INDECISIVE, VACILLATING, INDIFFERENT, PACIFIC MIDDLE-OF-THE ROADER!" (Amen!—T. T. M.)

The Obligations of the Truth

The truth carries with it solemn obligations to obey it, to propagate it, and to defend it.

It is sometimes said that "truth is mighty, and will prevail". But, as the late Dr. T. T. Eaton of Louisville, Kentucky said: "Truth is not mighty, and in times without number it has not prevailed. In a perfect world truth would be mighty, but not in a world of sin. Many languages have proverbs to the effect that 'a lie will get half around the world while the truth is getting on boots to start' . . . . Truth is mighty only when it has prophets, apostles, and martyrs, ready to do and dare and die in behalf of itself!"

The truth must be defended—at any cost it must be maintained!

It was Emerson who said:

'Tis man's perdition to be safe,
When for the truth he ought to die.

The truth of God's Word is being attacked, the great foundation truths of Christianity are being ruthlessly assailed. Let the church of Christ awake to the obligations of the truth and "fight the good fight of faith!"

Then let the reader keep the issue closely in mind: what these Modernists (Evolution preachers) are teaching from the pulpits is what we are being forced by our taxes to pay to have taught in our tax-supported schools when Evolution is taught in them. Even decent infidels ought to rise up, to a man, and protest against this violation of Religious Liberty, this trampling on the Constitution. But what about the Christian manhood of Evangelicals and Catholics who subserviently submit to it?

Yet the Southern Baptist Education Association sends out with their endorsment: IN GENERAL THE ANSWER OF THE COLLEGE OFFICIALS ARE BY NO MEANS CONDEMNATORY OF MODERNISM!" (Will Southern Baptists stand for this? If so, then the glory of the Lord has departed from them. These Southern Baptist Educators would not have said thus before they got a large part of the money of the 75 million campaign—Editor)

(FROM A REVIEW)

The Latest and Best Book on Evolution

Everybody must read it

"HELL AND THE HIGH SCHOOLS—CHRIST OR EVOLUTION,—WHICH?"

Evangelist T. T. Martin has just pulished this book on Evolution. It is a terrific arraignment. He meets the Evolutionists on their own ground and crushes them. They will never answer it—they can't. He carries the fight to the people. Every father and mother who will read the book will be aroused to the depths of their souls; every honest voter who reads it, will be ready to drive Evolution from all tax-supported schools.

It is a book for Catholics, Protestants and Baptists to scatter broadcast!

There are no honeyed words in it. It is on the Elijah-meeting-Ahab, Elijah-at-Carmel John-the-Baptist order. He lays the axe at the root of the tree; then lays off his coat and cuts the tree down; then digs up the stump, root and all!

It's a veritable "Slaughter of the Innocents!"

The book should be read by hundreds of thousands.

Wherever it is read it will make short work with Evolution and Evolutionists in all tax-supported schools. He shoves Evolution in denominational schools aside with "If the religious denominations will continue to be duped by the pussyfooting apologists for, and defenders of Evolution, and by some presidents and professors who deceive the people by denying that it is being taught in the schools; or by the deceptive plea that it is only being taught as a working hypothesis, let them go ahead; but it is time for the honest tax-paying and voting fathers and mothers to take hold of this thing and see that their tax money is not used to damn their children."

He shows up mercilessly the flimsy reasoning of Evolutionists, and tells them "that they would not know logic if they met it in the road;" that "their brains have gone on a vacation" and proves it.

Evolution has met its Waterloo in this book.

While the book is cutting, crushing, it is not railing; it is not billingsgate; it is not reviling. The author, a scholar, with thorough college and seminary training, versed in Hebrew and Greek, a College Professor of Science in his young manhood, a lifetime student of science, meets these "intellectuals" as they call themselves, on their own ground and the result is humiliating to the Evolutionists. He is unsparing in his logic, and the result will be mortifying to those Evolutionists who have not lost their sense of shame.

The chapter on "EVOLUTION NOT SCIENCE," is a scathing exposure of the hypocritical claim of the Evolutionists. He shows clearly that the claim of the Evolutionists that Evolution is now an established science is absolutly without foundation; that they have not one fact on which to rest their claim, but only guesses, possibilities and probabilities; that their course of reasoning is "Evolution is possible; therefore it is probable; therefore it's a fact; therefore it's a science." He piles the great scientists and scholars heaven high who testify positively that Evolution is not a science but only an unproven theory. He drives home the fact stated by Herbert Spencer that without inheriting acquired characteristics there can be no Evolution; he then shows that the great scientists have come out and confessed that there is no inheriting acquired characteristics; hence Evloution can never be a science.

The chapter on "Evolution repudiated by Great Scientists and Scholars" is an avalanche that overwhelms, buries, the wild hypocritical claim that "all scientists believe in Evolution" What an exposure! What a pling up of testimonies!

When the reader gets through with these two chapters he will be ready to agree with the great American Scientist, George Frederick Wright, concerning the Evolutionists, that "they are in too deep to wade and they cannot swim." He will agree with Louis Pastuer, the great Catholic Scientist, who said of Evolution; "Posterity will one day laugh at the foolishness of modern materialistic philosphers. The more I study nature the more I stand amazed at the works of the Creator."

The chapter, "The Effects of Evolution on the Teachers of it." is startling in its revelations.

The chapter on "The Effects of Evolution on Students," is absolutely alarming.

The chapter on, "The Responsibility on Fathers and Mothers,"—who can read it without being stirred?

The only thing remaining is to now get this book to the people. The battle is won if we get the book to the people.

Evolution in this book finds itself in the grasp of a master and he chokes its strangle hold from the throats of our young people. In the author's mighty grasp the "Christianity-suckled soul-murderers," as the author calls them, writhe in vain.

Get the book! Read it! Get everyone you can read it! Buy it and scatter it as a missionary work! Get everyone you can to buy it! Get Legislators to read it! Get public school teachers to read it! Get editors of county papers to read it! Get professors in college to read it! Get every college student to read it! Get fathers and mothers to read it! Get every high school boy and girl to read it!.

Order from the author, Blue Mountain, Miss. Paper 75c; cloth, $1.25

FROM OTHER REVIEWS

'T.T.Martin has been for 23 years the leading Evangelist among Southern Baptists. He was at one time a science professor. He was a voluminous writer. There is nothing else on the subject of Evolution to compare with it."

"If Martin dosn't dig up Evolution, root and branch, we are no judge of this matter. He treats the subject in a plain matter of fact way, so that the most illiterate can get the meaning."

"Hell and the High Schools" by Evangelist T. T. Martin it altogether the severest arraignment of the deadly doctrine of Evolution that we have ever read. Evangelist Martin in his characteristic way goes straight to the heart of the matter and presents an array of facts and testimonies that are irrefutable. It is so plainly written and with such invincible reason and logic that no one except an unreasonable Evolutionist can fail to see."

"Hell and the High Schools" by Evangelist T. T. Martin is more than an argument; it is an appeal from the heart of a man who loves the souls of his fellowmen and feels a responsibility for their salvation which he must discharge as God's steward. He himself has been a teacher of science, but for years has been a fisher of men. He sees the "octopus" of Evolution threatening and already destroying our young men and young women in the high schools. He shows what is being taught in our high schools; shows that Evolution is not a science; that it is repudiated by great scientists, and yet how it presumptuously challenges God in his sphere of creation. He shows its effect on teachers and students; and then points out the only hope. Preachers school teachers, parents, patrons and trustees of our public schools should read this book."

"One of the most timely books that we have had the pleasure of reading is "Hell and the High Schools" by T. T. Martin. When we had finished reading the book, the thought came to our mind that this little book comes for just such a time of uncertainty and skepticism as this."

Acquired Characters And Their Transmission

(continued from page nine)

but there can be no return to any crude Lamarckism. If the individual gains and losses, the individual indents and prunings, really count as such in racial evolution, it must be in some subtler way than is suggested by the griffe getting its long neck by ages of stretching, or the deep-sea fish becoming blind by generations of darkness and disuse.

"There should be no haste to close any door of reasonable interpretation, still less of experimental inquiry, but there is at present among zoologists, WIDESPREAD AGREEMENT with Sir Ray Lankester's pronouncement that one of the notable advances since Darwin's day, has been GETTING RID OF THE LAMARCKIAN THEORY OF THE TRANSMISSION OF INDIVIDUALLY ACQUIRED CHARACTERS OR IMPRINTED BODILY MODIFICATIONS. Of course, counting of heads is no argument; but the FACTS ARE NOT at present IN FAVOR OF THE LAMARCKIAN VIEW. But WE MAY perhaps LOOK FOR AN EVOLUTION OF LAMARCKISM AS WELL AS DARWINISM!"

It is easily possible but not necessary to multiply indefinitely authoritative opinions similar to the above. The detatched and impartial observer may be quite certain on one point at least—THAT EACH OF THESE GROUPS IS RIGHT WHEN IT REPUDIATES THE OTHER'S EVIDENCE AND CONCLUSIONS!

Thus it is abundantly proved that Evolution finds no support in the argument from minute, gradual, long-continued accumulation of variations, eventually producing new life-forms. It is likewise shown that evolution is not possible by mutations or large jumps. THIS IS SIMPLY ANOTHER WAY OF SAYING THAT THE WHOLE THEORY FALLS LIKE A HOUSE OF CARDS WHEN SUBMITTED TO A RIGID, SCIENTIFIC INVESTIGATION.

That this is the considered judgment of many eminent scientists is a fact not readily acknowledged by the vociferous advocates of evolution. But one or two expressions of opinion will demonstrate the modern trend of thought.

The Allegmeine Evangelisch Lutherische Kirchenzeitung quotes Dr. Fink, Berlin University:

"It must be clearly recognized that there are NO FACTS AT THE BASE OF THE EVOLUTIONARY THEORY. IT IS PURE THEORY though one which has operated fruitfully on science. In no case should it be erected into a dogma."

PROF. OSWALD SPENGLER, one of the greatest thinkers and philosophers of the day, has written one of the most startling books produced in twenty-five years. It is a massive work bearing the title "Der Untergang des Abendlandes,"—"The Decay of the Western World." In Vol. 2, p. 35, he ....Admitting a few difficult cases, we can only record our impression that the available *evidence indicating a transmission* of "acquired characters" as such or in any representative degree, is *very inconclusive.*"

On page 389 of the same volume, Thomson gives his conclusions on the question, "How Darwinism stands To-day". The third conclusion is, in part, as follows:

"In regard to the raw materials of evolution, there is greater cleanness than in Darwin's time, as to contrast between intrinsic variations of germinal origin and bodily modifications imprinted from without, and there are grave reasons for doubting whether the latter do as such affect the race at all.

"There is still to be heard the slogan, "Back to Lamarck!"

(continued on page twelve)

SPONTANEOUS GENERATION IMPOSSIBLE

(continued from page three)

3. Regarding the origin of life, who does not know that not a single example of spontaneous generation has been witnessed since the dawn of scientific observation? As with matter and energy, so with life, the record in the Bible is confirmed; for modern science compels us to say that we do not know how life could have originated except by a direct creation by the God of nature. The transformation of the not-living into the living is not in any sense a natural process; it is a real ceeation.

4. The bacteria and protozoa, under favorable conditions, can become mature and reproduce a new generation within a half hour; and thus an uncounted number of generations can appear within a single year. Yet we have definite proof that certain kinds of these minute creatures have persisted without any perceptible change for thousands of years; for the Greeks and the ancient Egyptians suffered from the same bacterial diseases as we do to-day. More than that, we have even found numerous examples of diseased conditions among the fossils of the ancient rocks, the pathological condition of the bones testifying that some at least of these diseases-producing microbes have persisted without change for a number of successive generations wholly beyond computation. Is not this stubborn persistence of these forms without change for such periods of time strong proof that the higher forms also would probably not lose their identity after a similar number of generations had passed, which in their case would mean many millions of years?

(to be continued)

AGENTS WANTED!

THE CONFLICT

needs an Agent in Every Community in the United and Canada. Liberal terms and you will be helping in the greatest battle Christianity has ever faced. For terms, address

THE CONFLICT
Blue Mountain, Miss.

EVOLTION AND THE BIBLE

(continued from last issue)

THE TESTIMONY OF CHRIST

There is one witness to the infallibility of the Mosaic record whom the critics must get rid of before they can prove their case. THAT WITNESS IS CHRIST. He accepted the Old Testament in its entirety, Law, Prophets, and Psalms. NEVER BY SO MUCH AS A SYLLABLE DID HE EXPRESS ANY DOUBTS AS TO THE GODLY SOURCE OF THESE WRITINGS; on the contrary, he definitely and unequivocally verified their absolute historical accuracy, both before and after His resurrection, WHICH AMAZING AND INCONTROVERTIBLE EVENT PROVES HIS DEITY, AND COMPELS US TO ACCEPT THE AUHTORITY AND INFALLIBILITY OF HIS OPINION.

Did He have knowledge of the falsity of these views and refuse to enlighten His followers, allowing them to believe in a sudden creation, when evolution was really God's method? Or was He Himeslf ignorant as to the real origin of things, holding the current, crude notions of His day, notions prevailing with the Jewish people among whom He lived, and with whom He claimed relationship?

TWO HORNS OF THE DILEMMA

IT MUST BE ONE OR THE OTHER. IN THE FIRST CASE, HE IS GUILTY OF DECEIVING HIS FOLLOWERS, WHEN BY A WORD HE COULD HAVE REVEALED THE TRUTH TO THEM, AND SAVED THEM, AND ALL SUCCEEDING GENERATIONS, FROM GROSS ERROR. IN THE SECOND CASE, WE WORSHIP A FALLIBLE, HUMAN SAVIOUR, NOT GOD, MADE FLESH, REVEALING OMNIPOTENCE AND OMNISCIENCE TO A FALLEN RACE.

In both cases, the foundations of Christianity are undermined, and our religion is built on shifting quicksand, not on solid rock, on error, not on truth, and, as so many professed followers are now doing, we may as well abandon it.

THE MYTH OF A CARELESS GOD

In view of the sinless perfection of Christ's life, and of His essential Deity, of which we have ample and convincing proof, and accepting the fact of His omnipotent power to control any instrument He might use, WE REFUSE TO BELIEVE THAT HE WOULD PERMIT GLARING ERRORS LIKE THESE TO BE WRITTEN DOWN BY HIS HUMAN SCRIBES.

WHITHER ARE WE BEING LED AND WHY?

We do not take an extreme view of the situation as it exists to-day. THERE IS NO ALTERNATIVE LEFT TO THE THINKING MAN OR WOMAN. WE ARE NOT TO BE SIDE-TRACKED FROM A CONSIDERATION OF THE OBVIOUS RESULT OF THE ACCEPTANCE OF THE THEORY OF EVOLUTION BY SPECIOUS AND PLAUSIBLE ARGUMENTS AS TO THE ACCURACY OF MODERN SCHOLARSHIP, WHOSE EXPONENTS WE ARE ASKED TO FOLLOW IN THEIR DEVIOUS INTELLECTUAL MAZE, AS THEY ATTEMPT TO DISCREDIT WHAT THEY ARE PLEASED TO CALL AN OLD-FASHIONED BELIEF IN THE BIBLE.

Why, in God's name, should we forsake a Book which has never been proved in error, and withstood thousands of hostile attacks? Why should we be expected to believe the uncertain and ever changing theories of non-Christian scientists?

THE REAL ISSUE.

We are told that evolution glorifies God as much as the method of sudden Creation, mentioned in the first Book of the Bible. THAT IS NOT THE QUESTINON AT ALL. If God has chosen evolution as His means of peopling the earth with humanity, developing through countless millions of years, from the primordial protoplasm in which He implanted this marvellous power of change and variation, we surely would have no right to cavil at it. The fact which is constantly overlooked is this: The Bible, supposed to be the Word of God, states that another method than evolution was used by the Creator. THE ISSUE IS NOT BETWEEN EVOLUTION AND CREATION, AS TO WHICH BRINGS THE GREATER HONOR TO GOD. IT IS BETWEEN A MAN-MADE HYPOTHESIS UNPROVEN BY EVIDENCE, AND A SIMPLE, GOD-GIVEN RECORD, SUPPORTED BY EVERY KNOWN SCIENTIFIC DISCOVERY, WHICH SATISFIES THE REASON OF EVERY BELIEVER IN AN OMNIPOTENT GOD.

(To be continued)

IF

IF every one who reads this copy of THE CONFLICT would make an earnest canvass for subscriptions within three months the United States and Canada would be aroused to the terrible insidious curse that is coming upon the young people of America from Evolution in our Tax-supported Schools. Do you believe in Religious Liberty? Do you believe in our Constitution? Do you care for the souls of our young people?

Turn and read the article "The Evolution Issue."

And what is being done is only the beginning. No darker cloud ever arose in the west than the great Evolution League "The Science League of America", recently organized in California, with the avowed purpose to "Keep Evolution in the schools and to keep Genesis out." It will have millions of money back of it, to influence the press, the pulpit, the Legislatures. NOW IS THE TIME to save our people from this scourge.

The Editor of THE CONFLICT will put in these columns the facts and material with which to meet the accursed propaganda. Those who read this issue of THE CONFLICT can solve the problems of getting this information to the people. Get every subscriber you can—LET NOT ONE READER FAIL TO GO TO THE LIMIT.

Notice to Subscribers

Because of the extra work in getting out the twenty thousand Edition of February 22, and the extra work in getting out this twenty thousand edition; we were forced to omit the March 22 issue; but the subscribers will not lose, as it simply moves up their figures and they will get their full number of papers.

Hereafter the CONFLICT will be issued regularly on the 7th and 22nd of each month.

TWO LITTLE CASES OF T. N. T.

JOCO-HOMO

by the Methodist Preacher-Scholar, B. H. Shadduck, Ph.D., of Ohio. Price 20c.

This is the book that is stirring the North; Nine Northern Methodist Papers have declined to accept further advertising of this book.

Men, Monkeys and Missig Links

by Dr Arthur I. Brown, M.D., C.M., F.R.C.S.E., the great Physician-Scientist of Vancouver, B. C. Price 20c.

Buy these by the dozen (15c) and keep them going from reader to reader.

ORDER FROM—

THE CONFLICT

Blue Mountain, Miss.

TWO GREAT BOOKS

THE NEW GEOLOGY

by
Prof. George McCready Price the Great Scientist. Price $3.50

The Book proves that Noah's Flood occurred as recorded in the Bible; then Shows that Noah's Flood Accounts for all that the Evolutionists base their claims on.

GOD OR GORILLA

by Alfred W. McCann, LL.D., of New York, Price $3.50

This book is the most frightful Exposure of Evolution and Evolutionists that has ever been printed. It is Convincing, Crushing, Unanswerable.

ORDER FROM—

THE CONFLICT

Blue Mountain, Miss.

Acquired Characters And Their Transmission

(continued from page eleven)

writes:

"Our notions regarding the history of the earth's crust and of the various forms of life, are still controlled by the theory of Lyell and of other Englishmen, who stress a slow development extending over long periods, rather than sudden catastrophes, as taught by the great Leopold von Buch and by Cuvier. The fundamental error of the theory is that it excludes all forms of energy which are not active to-day. THERE IS NO MORE COMPLETE REFUTATION OF DARWIN THAN THE STUDY OF FOSSILS. As far as man is concerned, the fossil remains prove that all forms which existed in the past correspond to those living today. NOT THE SLIGHTEST TRACE OF DEVELOPMENT OF THE RACE TOWARDS HIGHER STRUCTURE CAN BE FOUND.

"MAN HAS COME AS THE RESULT OF SUDDEN CHANGE, of which the Whence Why and How will be an unfathomable mystery, THUS THE ASSUMPTION OF ENORMOUS PERIODS OF TIME FOR HUMAN DEVELOPMENT IS UNNECESSARY. The most ancient beginnings of Egyptian civilization may well fit into the five thousand years of acepted history. The origin of the earth, the beginning of life, the introduction of animated beings, are mysteries which we must accept as such."

JAMES HARVEY ROBINSON, the well-known authority, contributed an article, "Is Darwinism Dead?" to Harper's Magazine for June, 1922, in which he writes:

"IT IS TRUE THAT MANY BIOLOGISTS HAVE GIVEN UP WHAT THEY CALL DARWINISM; THEY HAVE SURRENDERED SPENCER'S NOTION OF THE HEREDITARY TRANSMISSION OF ACQUIRED CHARACTERS, AND THEY EVEN USE THE WORD 'EVOLUTION' TIMIDLY, AND WITH MANY RESERVATIONS.

"But this does not mean that they have any doubts that mankind is a species of animal, sprung in some mysterious and yet unexplained manner, from extinct wild creatures of the forest and plains. THIS THEY SIMPLY TAKE FOR GRANTED.

"DARWINISM....IS DEAD ..because much that was unknown to Darwin has since been discovered, and if he were alive he would be the first to confess that his EXPLANATIONS HAVE LITTLE OR NO VALUE TO-DAY..

"THE OLDER IDEA, ACCEPTED BY LAMARCK, SPENCER AND DARWIN, THAT SO-CALLED ACQUIRED CHARACTERS COULD BE HANDED DOWN HEREDITARILY TO THEIR OFFSPRING, HAS BEEN GENERALLY GIVEN UP... It is obvious that the surrender of the hereditary transmission of acquired characters, greatly weakens the older explanations of evolution."

DR. F. A. BATHER, M.A. D.Sc., F. R.S., in his Presidential address given at the geological section of the British Association, in 1920, at Cardiff, Wales, said:

"DESCENT is NOT a corollary of SUCCESSION.. HISTORY is NOT the same as EVOLUTION. TODAY WE CLAIM to have proved evolution by descent. HOW do we prove it? The EVIDENCE remains, CIRCUMSTANTIAL..

"There is, then, reason for thinking that IGNORANCE ALONE LEADS US TO ASSUME SOME INEXPLICABLE FORCE URGING THE RACES THIS WAY AND THAT TO SO-CALLED ADVANCE OR TO APPARENT DEGENERATION, TO LIFE OR TO DEATH."

It is not generally known that when Darwin was proposed as a member of the French Academy of Science, that he was rejected by a vote of 48 to 15.

An eminent member of the Academy wrote at that time, in view of this action:

"WHAT HAS CLOSED THE DOORS OF THE ACADEMY TO MR. DARWIN, IS THAT THE SCIENCE OF HIS BOOKS WHICH HAVE MADE HIS CHIEF TITLE TO FAME —"THE ORIGIN OF SPECIES", AND STILL MORE, "THE DESCENT OF MAN," —IS NOT SCIENCE BUT A MASS OF ASSERTION AND ABSOLUTELY GRATUITOUS HYPOTHESIS, OFTEN EVIDENTLY FALLACIOUS. THIS KIND OF PUBLICATION AND THESE THEORIES ARE BAD EXAMPLES WHICH A BODY THAT RESPECTS ITSELF CANNOT [illegible] TO EXPOSE ENCOURAGE."

What shall we say then of a theory DEPENDING ABSOLUTELY ON THE TRANSMISSION OF ACQUIRED CHARACTERISTICS, A PROCESS WHICH IS PROVED NOT TO OCCUR? Evolution is seen to be a theory based, not only on groundless faith but on wilful ignorance. It is purely mythical hypothesis, in which the one indispensible operation has never been observed, and cannot be forced to act.

Remembering the countless, lamentable and admitted failures of practically all scientific experiments to bring forth new kinds of plants and animals, by any known methods of breeding or change in environment; we are shut in to this one conviction that the Omnipotent Creator has erected impassable barriers in heredity against which all man's assaults are wholly unavailing.

THE ARGUMENTS FOR THE DOCTRINE OF CREATION MAGNIFICENTLY OVERSHADOW THOSE FOR THE THEORY OF EVOLUTION. VIEWED FROM EVERY STANDPOINT AND CAREFULLY WEIGHING ALL THE SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE AT OUR DISPOSAL CREATION BECOMES THE ONLY REASONABLE AND SCIENTIFIC EXPLANATION OF ORGANIC LIFE. EVOLUTION DISHONORS GOD, CREATION HONORS HIM.

We believe God is the Author of Genesis. God could have used the evolutionary process, and it would have exalted Him, but His Record denies evolution. If we say evolution is true, we make God a liar.

"In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth" and all things therein, all plant life and all animal life, the fish in the sea, the beasts on the earth, and the birds of the air.

FAITH, REASON, SENSE, AND SCIENCE ALL DEMAND THE FINAL AND ABSOLUTE REJECTION OF THE "UNPROVED AND UNPROVABLE" THEORY OF EVOLUTION.

—o—

EDITOR SHOWS TWO PITIABLE DODGES OF EVOLUTIONISTS

(continued from page one)

they fighting our schools? He is not the enemy of a man who is trying to protect that man's child from taking poison.

Some official leaders of our organized work, paid to do certain specific work, are going over the south and whispering that "Martin is lined up against the organized work,"—simply because I am trying to save our people from the greatest curse that ever fell upon this earth since Adam fell. Look at the figures given in the article, "The Evolution Issue" I will finish up twenty-five years as a Baptist Evangelist June 1st. That twenty-five years' record is before the American people. My family and I are enduring hardships now, that we might pay our pledge to the 75 million campaign; the Home Mission Board (God's blessings on B. A. Gray and the Home Mission Board!) [illegible] and the Foreign Mission Board (God's blessings upon J. F. Love and the Foreign Mission Board!) have had repeated offers from me to go to any section of the country, free of charge, where I could be of any special help in a crisis; in at least three offices of officials of our organized work are on file letters from me offering to stump the state at my own expense for the organized work.

Because, while doing my Evangelistic work, I am acting as Field Secretary of the Anti-Evolution League of America and am pressing that fight (would that I could do a thousand times more than I am doing!) some are trying to cripple the work by whispering around that "Martin is ruining his meetings by preaching Evolution all the time!"

When I am preaching the gospel I preach the Gospel; when I fight Evolution, I fight Evolution. In each meeting I preach once only on Evolution, and that is always on Saturday night or Sunday afternoon. If I am ruining my work by preaching on Evolution all the time, how comes it that I am having more conversions and more additions in my meetings than ever before in my life?

It is ever whispered around by designing men that "Martin is losing his mind over Evolution. Poor man! His mind is more than half gone." There is a pretty good half left, since I am having more professions of faith and more additions to the churches than ever before in my twenty-five years as an Evangelist! Well here goes the other half in preaching the Gospel and driving from our tax-supported schools this faith destroying, Christ-denying, soul-damning curse.

Shame, brethren! Be men! Quit knifing in the dark, and dodging the issues. —T. T. MARTIN, Blue Mountain, Miss

A BRAVE, TRUE SOUL SENDS CHALLENGE TO EVOLUTIONIST

(Continued from page one)

company of men to sit in judgment upon a subject concerning which their fixed opinions are already known, and it could be no surprise that such a report, as appeared, was rendered.

I write at this time to present a challenge. I will meet in public debate any one of the presidents, signing this report, in any central city, or if you perfer in a half dozen of the leading cities, in California, and I will affirm that Evolution as taught in the schools in this State, is unscientific, unscriptural and anti-Christian. However, not to seem to take any advantage, if your representative prefers the affirmative side, then I will deny that Evolution is scientific, scriptural or Christian.

It was my privilege to call the meeting that brought into being the "World's Christian Fundamental Association." I am its Executive Secretary. I am also pastor of the First Baptist Church of Minneapolis, Minn., and Founder and President of the Northwestern Bible College, Minneapolis.

You doubtless know the things for which I stand thru my publications, and I shall be pleased to have your answer to this challenge, and hope it will be accepted.

These debates should be on week nights, at any time between February 5th and 20th, and the people hearing should be asked to vote their judgment at the close of each debate, requiring only a presiding officer. Any suitable place of meeting, together with proper advertising for each city.

Respectfully yours,
W. B. RILEY.

Will they meet him? Meet W. B. Riley? They would rather jump out of a fourth story window!

They will be like the duck, "That bravely swims away
To live and quack another day."

BOOKS TO BUY FOR FIGHTING EVOLUTION

1. *The Collapse of Evolution, by Townsend* 20c
2. *The Menace of Darwinism by Wm. Jennings Bryan* 25c
3. *Evolution at the Bar, by Philips Mauro of New York* ..... 75 and 35 c.
4. *Evolution a Menace, by J. W. Porter* ..... 60 and 35c.
5. *The Evolution Issue, by T. T. Martin* ..... 35c.
6. *Men, Monkeys and Missing Links, by Dr. Arthur I. Brown, M. D., C. M., F. R. C. S. E.* ..... 15c.
7. *Joco Homo by B. H. Shaddrick Ph.D.* 20c
8. *The Other Side of Evolution, by Patterson* $1.00
9. *The Wonders of Prophecy by Urquhart* $1.00
10. *Philosophy of the Plan of Salvation by Walker* $1.50
11. *Evolution or Christ; Christ or Hell, By T. T. Martin* 25c
12. *God or Gorilla, by Alfred W. McCann of New York* $3.50
13. *The New Geology, by Geo. McCready Price* $3.50
14. *Science and The Bible by Prof. I. R. Dean* 25c
15. *Hell and the High Schools, by T. T. Martin* ..... $1.25 and 75c
16. *God's Plan with Men, by T. T. Martin* $1.50
17. *Redemption and the New Birth by T. T. Martin* $1.50
18. *Heaven, Hell and Other Sermons by T. T. Martin* $1.50
19. *"Science and Religion in a Nutshell" by Prof. Geo. McCready Price* 25c
20. *The Bible and Modernism by J. M. Stanfield* 50c
19. *Both Sides of Evolution by C. S. Knight* .....

Order From—

THE CONFLICT

250 Court Street, Memphis, Tenn., or

Blue Mountain, Miss.